

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

LUCKY’S MARKET PARENT COMPANY,  
LLC, *et al.*,<sup>1</sup>

Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 20-10166 (JTD)

(Joint Administration Pending)

**MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR APPROVAL OF (I) PROCEDURES FOR STORE  
CLOSING SALES AND (II) ASSUMPTION OF THE LIQUIDATION CONSULTING  
AGREEMENT**

The above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (the “**Debtors**”) hereby move the Court (the “**Motion**”) for entry of an interim order, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A (the “**Proposed Order**”), pursuant to sections 105(a), 363, 365, and 554 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”); Rules 6003, 6004, 6006, and 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”), and Rule 9013-1 of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “**Local Rules**”), granting the Debtors interim authority, but not direction, to (i) implement the Store Closing Procedures attached as Exhibit 1 to the Proposed Order and conduct or continue conducting the Store Closing Sales at the Stores, as applicable; and (ii) assume the Liquidation Consultant Agreement. In support of the Motion, the Debtors rely upon the

---

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are Lucky’s Market Parent Company, LLC (2055), Lucky’s Farmers Market Holding Company, LLC (5480), Lucky’s Market Operating Company, LLC (7064), LFM Stores LLC (3114), Lucky’s Farmers Market, LP (0828), Lucky’s Farmers Market Resource Center, LLC (7711), Lucky’s Market Holding Company 2, LLC (0607), Lucky’s Market GP 2, LLC (9335), Lucky’s Market 2, LP (8384), Lucky’s Market of Longmont, LLC (9789), Lucky’s Farmers Market of Billings, LLC (8088), Lucky’s Farmers Markets of Columbus, LLC (3379), Lucky’s Farmers Market of Rock Hill, LLC (3386), LFM Jackson, LLC (8300), Lucky’s Farmers Market of Ann Arbor, LLC (4067), Lucky’s Market of Gainesville, LLC (7877), Lucky’s Market of Bloomington, LLC (3944), Lucky’s Market of Plantation, LLC (4356), Lucky’s Market of Savannah, GA, LLC (1097), Lucky’s Market of Traverse, City, LLC (2033), Lucky’s Market of Naples, FL, LLC (8700), and Sinoc, Inc. (0723).

*Declaration of Andrew T. Pillari, Chief Financial Officer of Debtors, in Support of Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Pleadings*, filed with the Court concurrently herewith (the “**First Day Declaration**”). In further support of the Motion, the Debtors, by and through their undersigned counsel, respectfully represent:

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. Debtors commenced these Chapter 11 Cases for the purpose of liquidating all of their stores not included in the proposed sale process discussed below. Prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors and their advisors engaged in a systematic review of each of their 39 open stores and 19 unopened stores, analyzing their performance, profitability, liquidity, and market impact. Historically, the Debtors’ underperforming stores are, among other things, in regions over-saturated with competition (new and historical) and/or are too costly for the Debtors to operate. Accordingly, continued operation of such underperforming stores no longer remains viable. Indeed, before the commencement of these Chapter 11 Cases, the Debtors and their advisors identified and commenced the wind down and liquidation of 32 stores (the “**Closing Stores**”).

2. In formulating the list of Closing Stores, the Debtors considered, among other factors, current occupancy costs, historical store profitability, recent and projected sales trends, specific circumstances related to a store’s performance, current liquidity, and whether any firm offer for such store was obtained or likely available from third parties. The Debtors estimate that, absent closure, the Closing Stores will collectively generate approximately \$30 million in operating losses for the fiscal year 2020.

3. Leading up to the Petition Date, the Debtors, in consultation with their advisors, developed procedures to sell or otherwise dispose of the inventory and furniture, fixtures, and equipment (“**FF&E**” and, together with applicable inventory, the “**Store Closing Assets**”) at the

Closing Stores, in each case, free and clear of all liens, claims, interests, and other encumbrances (the “**Store Closing Procedures**,” and the liquidation sale, the “**Store Closing Sales**”).

4. The Debtors also conducted an extensive prepetition sales process to sell as many of the Debtors’ stores as possible. As a result of these efforts, the Debtors have received indications of interest for the sale of FF&E and transfer of leases for approximately 26 stores to third-party purchasers. The Debtors have executed an Asset Purchase Agreement with Aldi and are negotiating the terms of other Assets Purchase Agreements pursuant to letters of intent that have been received (the “**APAs**”) for certain other stores (the “**Sold Stores**”). The Debtors will file separate motions to establish bid procedures for each of the APAs for the sale of certain of the Debtors’ assets in connection with the Sold Stores. The Debtors will also file a motion to shorten notice of the bidding procedures in order to run an expedited and cost-efficient post-petition sale process given the extensive prepetition process. As the sale transactions only contemplate the sale of unexpired leases and FF&E, the Store Closing Procedures will also apply to the Sold Stores to the extent applicable.

5. The Debtors continue to operate 7 stores (the “**Operating Stores**”, together with the Closing Stores and the Sold Stores, the “**Stores**”). The Debtors are finalizing the terms of a sale of the Operating Stores, which will be subject to a bidding procedures motion and approval by the Court as well. The Debtors, in their business judgement, believe that sale of the Operating Stores provides value to the Debtors in excess of what would otherwise be realized in a liquidation.

6. Before commencing any store liquidations, the Debtors and their advisors, formulated a comprehensive store closing schedule. Store Closing Sales at the Closing Stores began on or around January 22, 2020, and are expected to be completed by February 29, 2020, at which time the Debtors will exit the store facility.

7. To maximize the value of the Store Closing Assets and effectuate an orderly liquidation process, the Debtors also seek authority to assume a liquidation consulting agreement dated January 26, 2020 (the “**Liquidation Consulting Agreement**”) between Lucky’s Market Parent Company, LLC and Great American Global Partners, LLC (referred to hereout as “**Great American**” or the “**Liquidation Consultant**”). The Liquidation Consultant will assist with the sale of FF&E for certain Stores. With the help of the Liquidation Consultant, the Debtors estimate that the sale of the Store Closing Assets in the Stores will yield approximately \$1.5 million in gross proceeds.

8. The relief requested in this Motion is integral to maximizing value for the Debtors’ estates and their economic stakeholders. It will permit the Debtors to continue implementing the Store Closing Sales at the Stores, and it will establish fair and uniform Store Closing Procedures to assist the Debtors and their creditors through the Debtors’ liquidation and sale processes.

### **BACKGROUND**

9. On the date hereof (the “**Petition Date**”), each of the Debtors filed a voluntary petition in this Court commencing a case for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code (the “**Chapter 11 Cases**”). The Debtors continue to manage and operate their business as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108. No trustee or examiner has been requested in the Chapter 11 Cases and no committees have yet been appointed.

10. Concurrently with the filing of this Motion, the Debtors have requested procedural consolidation and joint administration of the Chapter 11 Cases pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

11. The factual background regarding the Debtors, including their business operations, their capital and debt structures, and the events leading to the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases, is set forth in detail in the First Day Declaration and fully incorporated herein by reference.

### **JURISDICTION**

12. The Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated February 29, 2012. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

13. Pursuant to Rule 9013–1(f) of the Local Rules, the Debtors consent to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with this Motion to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

14. By this Motion, pursuant to sections 105(a), 363, 365, and 554 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rules 6003, 6004, 6006, and 9014, and Local Rule 9013-1, the Debtors request interim authority, but not direction, to (i) implement the Store Closing Procedures attached as **Exhibit 1** to the Proposed Order granting the relief requested in this Motion on an interim basis and conduct or continue conducting the Store Closing Sales at the Stores, as applicable; and (ii) assume the Liquidation Consulting Agreement attached to the Proposed Order as **Exhibit 2**.

### **THE STORE CLOSING PROCEDURES**

15. The Debtors propose implementing the Store Closing Procedures, substantially in the form attached to the Proposed Order, to facilitate seamless Store Closing Sales. The Debtors have determined that, in the exercise of their business judgment and in consultation with their

advisors and their prepetition secured lender, implementing the Store Closing Procedures will provide the most timely and efficient means for maximizing the value of the Store Closing Assets.

16. Before the commencement of these Chapter 11 Cases, the Debtors notified parties affected by the closure of the Closing Stores, including employees.

17. Certain states and localities in which the Debtors operate stores have or may have laws, rules, regulations, or ordinances regarding licensing or other requirements governing the conduct of store closings, liquidations, or other inventory clearance sales (collectively, the “**Liquidation Sale Laws**”). Liquidation Sale Laws may establish licensing, permitting or bonding requirements, waiting periods, time limits, and bulk sale restrictions and augmentation limitations that would otherwise apply to the Store Closing Sales. The Debtors believe that in most cases, the Store Closing Procedures are consistent with Liquidation Sale Laws and with the leases affected by the Store Closing Sales. Certain requirements, however, may hamper the Debtors’ efforts to maximize the value of their Store Closing Assets, a significant portion of which consists of perishable inventory. Thus, the Debtors seek authority from the Court to conduct the Store Closing Sales in accordance with the Store Closing Procedures without complying with the Liquidation Sale Laws, when the two are inconsistent.<sup>2</sup>

18. Similarly, the Debtors respectfully request a finding that any contractual restrictions that could otherwise inhibit or prevent the Debtors’ ability to maximize recovery through the Store Closing Sales are unenforceable. In certain cases, the Store Closing Sales may be inconsistent with certain provisions of leases, subleases, vendor contracts, or other documents associated with the Stores, including reciprocal easement agreements, agreements containing

---

<sup>2</sup> The Debtors will continue to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations and ordinances that are for the protection of the health and safety of the public and in support of consumer protection laws.

covenants, conditions, and restrictions, including “go dark” provisions and landlord recapture rights, or other similar documents or provisions.

19. Accordingly, the Debtors also request that the Court order that no person or entity, including, without limitation, utilities, landlords, contract counterparties, suppliers, creditors, and all persons acting for or on their behalf, shall interfere with or otherwise impede the conduct of the Store Closing Sales, or institute any action against the Debtors or landlords at the Stores in any court (other than in this Court) or before any administrative body in any way that directly or indirectly interferes with, obstructs, or otherwise frustrates the conduct of the Store Closing Sales, including the advertising and promotion (including through the posting of signs) thereof.

#### **THE LIQUIDATION CONSULTING AGREEMENT**

20. Before engaging the Liquidation Consultant, the Debtors carefully considered the number of stores that would likely need to undergo procedures substantially similar to the Store Closing Procedures, the resources needed to implement such procedures, and the desired timing to complete the process of liquidating and in most instances closing such stores. It was evident that retaining a liquidating firm was warranted under the circumstances and necessary to maximize the value of the Store Closing Assets and minimize burdensome costs to the Debtors’ estates.

21. The Debtors determined that the Agreement with the Consultant reflects current market conditions, pricing, and terms. The Debtors have determined, in an exercise of their business judgment, that (a) the services of the Consultant are necessary for a seamless and efficient large-scale execution of the Store Closing Sales, as is contemplated by this Motion, and to maximize the value of the assets being sold, and (b) the Consultant is capable of performing the required tasks on favorable financial terms, as determined by the evaluation process. Accordingly,

the Debtors selected the Liquidation Consultant in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in the Liquidation Consulting Agreement.

22. The material terms of the Liquidation Consulting Agreement are summarized below.<sup>3</sup>

Term	Summary
<b>Services Provided by the Liquidation Consultant</b>	<p>As the Debtors' (the "Seller") exclusive agent in connection with conducting and assisting in the sale of FF&amp;E, the Consultant shall, among other things:</p> <p>Sell FF&amp;E, in whole or in part, at public and/or private sale(s) to the highest or best bidder thereof, as determined by the Consultant in an "AS IS" and "WHERE IS" condition without any warranty or guarantee on behalf of, and solely in the name of, the Company. The Consultant shall conduct the sale in a manner intended to maximize recovery given the time frame provided to vacate the premises by February 29, 2020. The Consultant will have complete authority to implement commercially reasonable advertising and to conduct the sale in the manner, and utilizing the methods, that Consultant deems, in its professional judgment, to be appropriate and in the best interest of the Seller and subject to the Budget (the "Budget") as included in Exhibit F.</p>
<b>Assets to Be Sold</b>	<p>The FF&amp;E (the "Assets") are located at the site(s) as listed on Exhibit E, each and collectively referred to as the "Store(s)" or the "Premise(s)." Stores on the Exhibit E have been designated as either "Included Stores", which shall be subject to the sale, exclusivity and all other terms of the Agreement with the Consultant, or "Deferred Stores" which are pending sale to a third party, and may be designated by the Seller as an Included Store at a later date.</p>
<b>Expenses of Consultant</b>	<p>The Consultant shall also be entitled to reimbursement for all sale related expenses (hereinafter referred to as "Costs") incurred by the Consultant in preparing for and conducting the sale, including labor, marketing, supplies and other related costs. The Consultant shall not be entitled to reimbursement for Costs in excess of \$276,013 for Included Stores and up to \$298,245 for Deferred Stores, per the</p>

<sup>3</sup> Capitalized terms used but not defined in this summary of the Liquidation Consulting Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them therein. To the extent that this summary conflicts with the actual terms of the Liquidation Consulting Agreement, the Liquidation Consulting Agreement shall control. A copy of the Liquidation Consulting Agreement is attached as **Exhibit 2** to the Proposed Order.

	<p>attached Budget as Exhibit F, without prior authorization from the Seller. All Costs shall be documented in the Consultant's final settlement package provided to the Seller. The following have not been included in the Costs and are not deemed a responsibility of the Consultant: occupancy costs, personal property insurance, data backup, removal and/or destruction (if applicable), freon evacuation, Lucky's Market provided personnel or marketing. The Budget may only be modified by mutual written agreement of the Merchant and the Consultant (the "Parties"). The Parties acknowledge that the Budget will be updated in connection with any modification of the Included and Deferred Stores and agree to cooperate in good faith with respect to such updates.</p>
<b>Consultant's Fee</b>	<p>As consideration for its services under the Agreement, the Seller shall pay to the Consultant a base fee equal to (a) fifteen percent (15.0%) of the Gross Sale Price of Assets that are sold.</p>
<b>Seller's Indemnification</b>	<p>Seller shall and hereby agrees to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless Consultant and its agents, employees, principals and Supervisors from any and all third party Losses arising out of (i) the environmental condition of the real property on which the Premises are located, and/or any asserted damage, if any, to adjacent land owners, all as now or may at any time hereafter be in effect; (ii) the handling by any parties of any Sensitive Information remaining at the Premises or within the Assets sold, discarded or abandoned; (iii) any defect or failure not caused by the grossly negligent and/or intentional misconduct of Consultant in product design or materials or storage, manufacture, distribution, sale or use by any person or entity of any product or goods; (iv) Seller's or Company's failure to pay over to the appropriate taxing authority any taxes required to be paid by Seller or Company during the sale term in accordance with applicable law or to pay any liability referred to in Section 10 of the Liquidation Consulting Agreement; (v) negligent or intentional acts or omissions of Seller, Company or their agents, employees, representatives and principals in connection with the Sale; (vi) liens, claims, interests and encumbrances asserted against the Assets; and/or (vii) the breach by Seller or Company of any of their representations, warranties or other obligations under this Agreement.</p>
<b>Consultant's Indemnification</b>	<p>Consultant shall reimburse, indemnify, defend and hold the Company and their respective officers, directors, agents, and employees, harmless from and against any damage, loss, expense (including reasonable attorneys' fees) or penalty, or any claim or action therefore, by or on behalf of any person ("Losses"), arising out of (i) any defect or failure in an Asset caused by the grossly negligent and/or intentional misconduct of Consultant in the storage, transportation, sale of the Asset; (ii) negligent or intentional acts or omissions of Consultant or its subcontractor, agents, employees, representatives</p>

	and principals in connection with this Agreement; (iv) claims asserted by the Consultant's employees or agents, including the Consultant's employees' or agents' payroll claims (wage claims, claims for taxes required to be withheld from wages, social security, etc.), or unemployment compensation claims; (v) any property damage to the premises caused by direct result of Consultant's or its subcontractor, agents, employees, representatives and principals negligence that is not repaired to the condition prior to the damage, excluding any damage caused as the result of a buyer's removal of Assets from the premises; and (vi) the breach by Consultant of any of their representations, warranties or other obligations under the Agreement.
<b>Exclusivity</b>	Seller retains Consultant to be its sole and exclusive agent to sell the Assets of the Included Stores. With the exception of the Deferred Stores, Seller hereby agrees that it will not effectuate any sale of the Assets on its own without utilizing the services of Consultant in connection therewith. Upon execution of the Agreement, Seller will turn over all sales or negotiations for sales of the Assets to Consultant, as well as all contact information for parties that have expressed interest in the Assets to Seller. Except as set forth in this Agreement, Seller agrees not to remove any Assets from the Sale for any reason after execution of the Agreement without prior notice to, and consent of, Consultant. The sale shall be without reserve.
<b>Seller's Insurance Obligations</b>	Company shall maintain, throughout the sale term and until the expiration or termination of the Agreement, liability insurance policies (including, without limitation, products liability, comprehensive commercial general liability insurance, and auto liability insurance), with at least the coverage limits currently existing thereunder, covering injuries to persons and property in or in connection with the Stores and/or the Merchandise, and shall cause Consultant to be named an additional insured with respect to all such policies. At Consultant's request, the Company shall provide Consultant with a certificate or certificates evidencing the insurance coverage required hereunder and that Consultant is an additional insured thereunder. In addition, the Company shall maintain throughout the sale term, in such amounts as it currently has in effect, workers compensation insurance in compliance with all applicable statutory requirements.
<b>Consultant's Insurance Obligations</b>	Consultant shall maintain, throughout the sale term and until the expiration or termination of this Agreement, liability insurance policies (including, without limitation, products liability, comprehensive commercial general liability insurance, and auto liability insurance), with at least the coverage limits currently existing thereunder, covering injuries to persons and property in or in connection with the Stores and/or the Merchandise, and shall cause Consultant to be named an

	additional insured with respect to all such policies. The Company shall provide Consultant with a certificate or certificates evidencing the insurance coverage required hereunder and that Consultant is an additional insured thereunder. In addition, the Company shall maintain throughout the sale term, in such amounts as it currently has in effect, workers compensation insurance in compliance with all applicable statutory requirements.
--	---

23. The Debtors believe that the terms of the Liquidation Consulting Agreement are market, reasonable, and that assumption of the Liquidation Consulting Agreement is an exercise of their sound business judgment.

### **BASIS FOR RELIEF**

#### **A. Immediately Implementing the Store Closing Procedures and Continuing Store Closing Sales Constitutes an Exercise of the Debtors' Sound Business Judgment**

24. The Court may grant the relief requested herein pursuant to section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code. Section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in relevant part, that “[t]he [debtor], after notice and a hearing, may use, sell, or lease, other than in the ordinary course of business, property of the estate.” 11 U.S.C. § 363(b)(1). To obtain Court approval to use property under section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code for the purpose of a store closing sale, the Debtors need only show a legitimate business justification for the proposed action. *See In re Montgomery Ward Holding Corp.*, 242 B.R. 147, 153 (D. Del. 1999) (requiring that debtor show a “sound business purpose” to justify its actions under section 363 of Bankruptcy Code); *see also In re Phoenix Steel Corp.*, 82 B.R. 334, 335–36 (Bankr. D. Del. 1987); *Comm. Of Equity Sec. Holders v. Lionel Corp. (In re Lionel Corp.)*, 722 F.2d 1063, 1070 (2d Cir. 1983); *Comm. Of Asbestos-Related Litigants v. Johns-Manville Corp. (In re Johns-Manville Corp.)*, 60 B.R. 612, 616 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1986) (“Where the debtors articulates a reasonable basis for its business decisions (as distinct from a decision made arbitrarily or capriciously), courts will generally not entertain

objections to the debtors' conduct") (citation omitted). Moreover, if "the debtor articulates a reasonable basis for its business decisions (as distinct from a decision made arbitrarily or capriciously), courts will generally not entertain objections to the debtor's conduct." *In re Johns-Manville Corp.*, 60 B.R. at 616 (citation omitted); *see also In re Tower Air, Inc.*, 416 F.3d 229, 238 (3d Cir. 2005) (stating that "[o]vercoming the presumptions of the business judgment rule on the merits is a near-Herculean task").

25. The relief requested represents a sound exercise of the Debtors' business judgment, is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm to the Debtors' estates, and is justified under section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors and their advisors believe that the Store Closing Procedures represent the most efficient and appropriate means of maximizing the value of the Store Closing Assets, while balancing the potentially competing concerns of affected landlords and other parties in interest.

26. Ample business justification exists to conduct Store Closing Sales at the Closing Stores. Before the Petition Date, the Debtors, with the assistance of their advisors, engaged in an extensive review of each of their stores to (a) identify underperforming and unprofitable stores, and (c) determine which stores should be closed immediately to eliminate their ongoing negative impact on the Debtors' financial performance. This process also resulted in the Debtors' identification of the Closing Stores, which they began to close on or about January 22, 2020. Any Store Closing Assets that are not sold during the Store Closing Sales will be transferred to surrounding locations or abandoned as described in further detail below.

27. Any interruption or delay in the Debtors' ability to efficiently close Closing Stores during these chapter 11 cases could have material adverse consequences for the Debtors' estates. The Closing Stores are a financial drain on the Debtors' estates and the sooner the Closing Stores

are liquidated and closed, the more cash the Debtors will have to administer these cases and implement a sales process. Further, delays in commencing Store Closing Sales would have unique implications for the Debtors, as grocers, given the limited shelf-life of much of the inventory they sell. Accordingly, the Debtors believe that the Court should permit the Debtors flexibility to act in the most expeditious and efficient manner possible. The Debtors submit that there is sufficient business justification for the Debtors to continue implementing the Store Closing Procedures.

28. Courts in this district have approved similar store closing or liquidation sale procedures in chapter 11 cases involving retail debtors. *See, e.g., In re Central Grocers, Inc.*, No. 17-10993 (LSS) (Bankr. D. Del. May 17, 2017) [D.I. 191]; *In re Sports Auth. Holdings, Inc.*, No. 16-10527 (MFW) (Bankr. D. Del. May 3, 2016) [D.I. 1700]; *In re Golfsmith Int'l Holdings, Inc.*, No. 16-12033 (LSS) (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 15, 2016) [D.I. 66]; *In re Hagggen Holdings, LLC*, No. 15-11874 (KG) (Bankr. D. Del. Oct. 15, 2015) [D.I. 447]; *In re Quiksilver, Inc.*, No. 15-11880 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 10, 2015) [D.I. 72]; *In re RadioShack Corp.*, No. 15-10197 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Feb. 6, 2015) [D.I. 106]; *In re Coldwater Creek*, No. 14-10867 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. May 7, 2014) [D.I. 355]; *In re Anchor Blue Retail Group, Inc.*, No. 09-11770 (LSS) (Bankr. D. Del. June 18, 2009) [D.I. 182]; *In re Goody's Family Clothing, Inc.*, No. 08-11153 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. June 13, 2008) [D.I. 116]; and *In re Sharper Image Corp.*, No. 08-10322 (KG) (Bankr. D. Del. Mar. 14, 2008) [D.I. 271].

29. In addition, the Court has the authority, pursuant to its equitable powers under section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, to authorize the relief requested herein, because such relief is necessary for the Debtors to carry out their fiduciary duties under section 1107(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code empowers bankruptcy courts to “issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this

title.” 11 U.S.C. § 105. Section 1107(a) of the Bankruptcy Code “contains an implied duty of the debtor-in-possession” to “protect and preserve the estate, including an operating business’ going-concern value,” on behalf of a debtor’s creditors and other parties in interest. *In re CEI Roofing, Inc.*, 315 B.R. 50, 59 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2004) (quoting *In re CoServ, L.L.C.*, 273 B.R. 487, 497 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2002)); *see also Unofficial Comm. of Equity Holders v. McManigle (In re Penick Pharm., Inc.)*, 227 B.R. 229, 232–33 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1998) (“[U]pon filing its petition, the Debtor became debtor in possession and, through its management . . . was burdened with the duties and responsibilities of a bankruptcy trustee”).

**B. Sales of the Store Closing Assets Free and Clear of All Liens, Claims, and Encumbrances Is Warranted**

30. Pursuant to section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code, a debtor may sell property of the estate “free and clear of any interest in such property of an entity other than the estate” if any one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) applicable non-bankruptcy law permits sale of such property free and clear of such interest;

(ii) such entity consents;

(iii) such interest is a lien and the price at which such property is to be sold is greater than the aggregate value of all liens on such property;

(iv) such interest is in bona fide dispute; or

(v) such entity could be compelled, in a legal or equitable proceeding, to accept a money satisfaction of such interest.

11 U.S.C. § 363(f)(1)–(5).

31. To the extent there are liens on the Store Closing Assets that would be the subject of the Store Closing Sales, the Debtors’ prepetition secured lender has consented and all other

known holders of any liens on such assets, have each consented or the Debtors anticipate will each consent to the sales thereof because such sales provide the most effective, efficient, and time-sensitive approach to realizing the maximum value for the Store Closing Assets to the benefit of all stakeholders. In any event, the Debtors believe that all lienholders, including the Debtors' prepetition secured lender, could be compelled in a legal or equitable proceeding to accept money satisfaction of their interests. In furtherance of the foregoing, any and all liens on the Store Closing Assets sold in the Store Closing Sales would be satisfied or would attach to the remaining net proceeds of such sales with the same force, effect, and priority as such liens currently have on the Store Closing Assets, subject to the rights and defenses, if any, of the Debtors and of any party-in-interest with respect thereto.

32. In addition, all known lienholders will receive notice and will be given opportunity to object to the relief requested herein on a final basis. Any lienholders that do not object to the sale of applicable Store Closing Assets should be deemed to have consented to such sale. *See Futuresource LLC v. Reuters Ltd.*, 312 F.3d 281, 285-86 (7th Cir. 2002) ("It is true that the Bankruptcy Code limits the conditions under which an interest can be extinguished by a bankruptcy sale, but one of those conditions is the consent of the interest holder, and lack of objection (provided of course there is notice) counts as consent. It could not be otherwise; transaction costs would be prohibitive if everyone who might have an interest in the bankrupt's assets had to execute a formal consent before they could be sold." (internal citations omitted)); *Hargrave v. Twp. of Pemberton (In re Tabone, Inc.)*, 175 B.R. 855, 858 (Bankr. D.N.J. 1994) (finding failure to object to sale free and clear of liens, claims and encumbrances satisfies section 363(f)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code); *Citicorp Homeowners Serv., Inc. v. Elliot (In re Elliot)*, 94 B.R. 343, 345-46 (E.D. Pa. 1988) (same); *see also In re Enron Corp.*, Case No. 01-16034, 2003

WL 21755006, at \*2 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. July 28, 2003) (order deeming all parties who did not object to proposed sale to have consented under section 363(f)(2)).

33. Accordingly, the sale of the Store Closing Assets satisfies the statutory requirements of section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code and should be free and clear of any liens, claims, encumbrances, and other interests.

**C. The Court Should Invalidate Contractual Restrictions That Impair the Debtors' Ability to Conduct the Store Closing Sales**

34. Store closing or liquidation sales are a routine part of chapter 11 cases involving retail debtors. Such sales are consistently approved by courts, despite provisions in recorded documents, contracts, or agreements purporting to forbid such sales. Indeed, any such contract or agreement is unenforceable against the Debtor pending assumption or rejection thereof. *See In re Univ. Med. Ctr.*, 973 F.2d 1065, 1075 (3d Cir. 1992) (citing *In re Bildisco*, 465 U.S. 513, 532 (1984)); *In re Nat'l Steel Corp.*, 316 B.R. 287, 302-07 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 2004).

35. Courts in this district have entered orders deeming such restrictive contractual provisions unenforceable in the context of store closing or liquidation sales. *See, e.g., In re Central Grocers, Inc.*, No. 17-10993 (LSS) (Bankr. D. Del. May 17, 2017) [D.I. 191]; *In re Sports Auth. Holdings*, No. 16-10527 (MFW) (Bankr. D. Del. May 3, 2016) [D.I. 1700]; *In re Hagen Holdings, LLC*, No. 15-11874 (KG) (Bankr. D. Del. Oct. 15, 2015) [D.I. 447]; *In re Quiksilver, Inc.*, No. 15-11880 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 10, 2015) [D.I.72]; *In re RadioShack Corp.*, No. 15-10197 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Feb. 6, 2015) [D.I. 106]; and *In re Coldwater Creek*, No. 14-10867 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. May 7, 2014) [D.I. 355]. Moreover, the Store Closing Procedures, like the liquidation sale guidelines approved in other cases cited above, provide the appropriate protections for any legitimate concerns that landlords or other affected parties might otherwise have with respect to the conduct of the Store Closing Sales.

36. Accordingly, the Debtors request that the Court authorize the Debtors to conduct the Store Closing Sales consistent with the Store Closing Procedures, without interference by any utilities, landlords, suppliers, vendors, contract counterparties, or other persons affected, directly or indirectly, by the Store Closing Sales.

**D. The Court Should Waive Compliance With Any Liquidation Sale Laws That Restrict Store Closing Sales**

37. There is ample support for granting the Debtors' request for a waiver of the requirement to comply with applicable Liquidation Sale Laws that are inconsistent with the Store Closing Procedures. First, Liquidation Sale Laws often provide that, if a liquidation or bankruptcy sale is authorized by a court, then a company need not comply with the Liquidation Sale Laws. Second, pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Court has the authority to permit the Store Closing Sales to proceed notwithstanding contrary Liquidation Sale Laws.

38. Third, this Court will be able to supervise the Store Closing Sales because the Debtors and their assets are subject to this Court's exclusive jurisdiction. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1334. Creditors and the public interest are adequately protected by notice of this Motion and the ongoing jurisdiction and supervision of this Court. Moreover, section 959 of title 28 of the United States Code, which requires debtors to comply with state and other laws in performance of their duties, does not apply to the Store Closing Sales. *See, e.g., In re Borne Chemical Co.*, 54 B.R. 126, 135 (Bankr. D.N.J. 1984) (holding that 28 U.S.C. § 959(b) is applicable only when property is being managed or operated for the purpose of continuing operations, not liquidations). Relatedly, and as further adequate protection, paragraph 12 of the Proposed Order includes a procedure whereby aggrieved parties can seek relief from this Court in the event that there is a dispute relating to any Liquidation Sale Law.

39. Fourth, even if a Liquidation Sale Law does not expressly exempt bankruptcy sales from its ambit, the Debtors believe that if such law conflicts with federal bankruptcy laws, it is preempted by the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution. *See* U.S. Const. art. VI, cl. 2. To hold otherwise would severely impair the relief available under section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code. Consistent with this premise, Bankruptcy Courts have recognized that federal bankruptcy laws preempt state and local laws that contravene the underlying policies of the Bankruptcy Code. *See, e.g., Belculfine v. Aloe (In re Shenango Grp., Inc.)*, 186 B.R. 623, 628 (Bankr. W.D. Pa. 1995) (“Trustees and debtors-in-possession have unique fiduciary and legal obligations pursuant to the bankruptcy code . . . [A] state statute [ ] cannot place burdens on them where the result would contradict the priorities established by the federal bankruptcy code”). Although preemption of state law is not always appropriate, as when the protection of public health and safety is involved, *see In re Baker & Drake, Inc. v. Pub. Serv. Comm’n of Nev. (In re Baker & Drake)*, 35 F.3d 1348, 1353–54 (9th Cir. 1994) (finding no preemption when state law prohibiting taxicab leasing was promulgated in part as a public safety measure), it is appropriate when, as here, the only state laws involved concern economic regulation. *See id.* at 1353 (finding that “federal bankruptcy preemption is more likely . . . where a state statute is concerned with economic regulation rather than with protecting the public health and safety”).

40. Similar relief has been granted in other bankruptcy cases in this district. *See, e.g., In re Central Grocers, Inc.*, No. 17-10993 (LSS) (Bankr. D. Del. May 17, 2017) [D.I. 191]; *In re Golfsmith Int’l Holdings, Inc.*, No. 16-12033 (LSS) (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 15, 2016) [D.I. 66]; *In re Sports Auth. Holdings*, No. 16-10527 (MFW) (Bankr. D. Del. May 3, 2016) [D.I. 1700]; *In re Hagggen Holdings, LLC*, No. 15-11874 (KG) (Bankr. D. Del. Oct. 15, 2015) [D.I. 447]; *In re Quiksilver, Inc.*, No. 15-11880 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 10, 2015) [D.I. 72]; *In re RadioShack*

*Corp.*, No. 15-10197 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Feb. 6, 2015) [D.I. 106]; and *In re Coldwater Creek*, No. 14-10867 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. May 7, 2014) [D.I. 355].

41. Accordingly, the Debtors further request that the Court authorize the Debtors to conduct the Store Closing Sales consistent with the Store Closing Procedures, without any other person or entity, including any lessor or federal, state, or local agency, department, or governmental authority, being allowed to take any action to prevent, interfere with, or otherwise hinder consummation of the Store Closing Sales or the advertising and promotion (including through the posting of signs) thereof.

**E. Abandonment of the De Minimis Assets Should Be Approved**

42. The Debtors also request authority to abandon any property, including certain surplus, burdensome, or non-core assets, which may include Store Closing Assets, remaining at the leased premises after the completion of the applicable Store Closing Sales therein or on the effective date of rejection of the applicable underlying lease that the Debtors do not sell and determine is too difficult to remove or expensive to store (such that such that the economic benefits of removing or storing such property would be outweighed by the attendant costs) (collectively, the “**De Minimis Assets**”).

43. Under section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, a debtor, after notice and a hearing, is authorized to “abandon any property of the estate that is burdensome to the estate or that is of inconsequential value and benefit to the estate.” 11 U.S.C. § 554(a); *see also Hanover Ins. Co. v. Tyco Indus., Inc.*, 500 F.2d 654, 657 (3d Cir. 1974) (“[A trustee] may abandon his claim to any asset, including a cause of action, he deems less than valuable than the cost of asserting that claim.”); *In re Contract Research Solutions, Inc.*, 2013 WL 1910286, at \*4 (Bankr. D. Del. May 1, 2013) (“[A debtor] need only demonstrate that [it] has exercised sound business judgment in

making the determination to abandon.”) (citations omitted). The right to abandon property is, except for certain exceptions inapplicable in the present case, unfettered. *See Midlantic Nat’l Bank v. N.J. Dep’t of Env’tl. Prot.*, 474 U.S. 494, 506–07 (1986) (noting one such exception and holding that section 554(a) does not preempt state laws aimed at protecting the public’s health and safety).

44. Although the Debtors will have, in their business judgment, removed personal property at the Closing Stores and other leased premises if feasible and of value to the Debtors’ ongoing operations or to their estates, a minimal amount of the Debtors’ personal property is expected to remain at certain properties. The De Minimis Assets will primarily consist of miscellaneous FF&E, advertising displays, and other store equipment that is of inconsequential value or benefit to the Debtors’ estate or would be cost prohibitive to remove. Any landlord or other designee will be free to dispose of the De Minimis Assets after the effective date of rejection of the applicable underlying lease or after completion of the applicable Store Closing Sales without notice or liability to any party. To the best of the Debtors’ knowledge, the abandonment of the property would not be in violation of any state or local statutes or regulations reasonably designed to protect the public health or safety. Accordingly, abandonment of the De Minimis Assets as of the effective date of rejection of the applicable underlying leases or after completion of the applicable Store Closing Sales should be approved.

45. Courts in this district and elsewhere have previously approved similar relief in other chapter 11 cases involving retail debtors. *See, e.g., In re Central Grocers, Inc.*, No. 17-10993 (LSS) (Bankr. D. Del. June 2, 2017) [D.I. 336]; *In re Sports Auth. Holdings, Inc.*, No. 16-10527 (MFW) (Bankr. D. Del. May 3, 2016) [D.I. 1700] (authorizing the debtors to abandon any unremoved or unsold furniture, fixtures, and equipment at a closing store); *In re Great Atl. & Pac. Tea Co., Inc.*, No. 15-23007 (RDD) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Jan. 22, 2016) [ECF No. 2367] (authorizing

the debtors to abandon furniture, fixtures, and equipment as of a retroactive rejection date); *In re Am. Apparel, Inc.*, No. 15-12055 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Nov. 20, 2015) [D.I. 364] (authorizing the debtors to dispose of or abandon property of their estates left in stores after the completion of store closing sales); *In re RadioShack Corp.*, No. 15-10197 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Feb. 2, 2015) [D.I. 455] (authorizing the debtors to abandon all unsold assets located at any of the closing stores); and *In re Metropark USA, Inc.*, No. 11-22866 (RDD) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. May 5, 2011) [ECF No. 50] (authorizing the debtor to reject a lease and abandon certain furniture, fixtures, and equipment on the leased premises).

**F. Assumption of the Liquidation Consulting Agreement is in the Best Interests of the Debtors and Their Estates.**

46. Under section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, a debtor may assume or reject any executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor provided that such assumption or rejection satisfies the business judgment test. The Debtors' decision to utilize and pay for the services provided by the Liquidation Consultant is a reasonable exercise of their business judgment. Given the number of Stores that will need to be simultaneously liquidated or closed, a nationally-recognized liquidator, such as Great American, with significant experience managing large-scale liquidations is best equipped to ensure a smooth liquidation process that will maximize the value of the Store Closing Assets. The Liquidation Consultant has extensive expertise in conducting store closing sales and can oversee, and assist in the management and implementation of, the Store Closing Sales in an efficient and cost-effective manner. The Liquidation Consulting Agreement will enable the Debtors to utilize the experience, skills, and resources of the Liquidation Consultant to effectively and efficiently conduct the Store Closing Sales and, thus, significantly improve the value to be received through the Store Closing Sales for the benefit of all stakeholders.

47. The Liquidation Consultant's fees will be based on the successful sale of the Store FF&E. The Debtors believe that the prepetition proposal and negotiation process has ensured that the fee structure set forth in the Liquidation Consulting Agreement is reasonable, market based, and consistent with the fees this Court and other courts have approved in connection with entry into liquidating consulting agreements. *See, e.g., In re Central Grocers, Inc.*, No. 17-10993 (LSS) (Bankr. D. Del. June 2, 2017) [D.I. 336] (authorizing a fee equal to 1.5% of gross sales if such sales exceed the cost value of the merchandise and 15% of proceeds from sales of furniture, fixtures, and equipment); *In re Golfsmith Int'l Holdings, Inc.*, No. 16-12033 (LSS) (Bankr. D. Del. October 13, 2016) [D.I. 267] (authorizing a fee equal to .75% of gross proceeds of sales of merchandise and 15% of proceeds from sales of furniture, fixtures, and equipment); *In re The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc.*, Case No. 15-23007 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Aug. 13, 2015) [ECF No. 546] (authorizing a fee equal to 1% of gross sales if such sales exceed the cost value of the merchandise and 10% of proceeds from sales of furniture, fixtures, and equipment); *In re Lack's Stores, Inc.*, Case No. 10-60149 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. Nov. 17, 2010) [ECF No. 34] (approving a \$4,500 per store base fee, a fee equal to 20% of net proceeds of sales of merchandise in excess of 64% of the cost value of the merchandise, and 15% of proceeds from sales of FF&E); *In re Bruno's Supermarkets, LLC*, Case No. 09-00634 (Bankr. N.D. Ala. Mar. 2, 2009) [ECF No. 306] (approving a fee equal to 3% of net proceeds of sales of merchandise and 15% of proceeds from sales of FF&E); and *In re Value City Holdings, Inc.*, Case No. 08-14197 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 20, 2008) [ECF No. 158] (approving a \$25,000 per store base fee, up to a \$25,000 per store success fee based on a 54.6% gross return on merchandise adjusted downward for lower gross return percentages, and a fee equal to 10% of gross proceeds from sales of FF&E).

48. As noted above, the Debtors believe that the expertise of the Liquidation Consultant will greatly assist the Debtors in the disposition of the Stores and maximize the value to be realized in that process. This will inure to the benefit of the Debtors' estates, which will more than offset any expenses incurred through the Liquidation Consultant's retention. Thus, the decision to employ the Liquidation Consultant is a sound exercise of the Debtors' business judgment and assumption of the Liquidation Consulting Agreement should be approved.

**RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

49. Nothing contained herein is intended or shall be construed as (a) an admission as to the validity of any claim against the Debtors; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' or any appropriate party in interest's rights to dispute the amount of, basis for, or validity of any claim against the Debtors; or (c) a waiver of any claims or causes of action that may exist against any creditor or interest holder. Likewise, if the Court grants the relief sought herein, any payment made pursuant to the Court's order is not intended to be and should not be construed as an admission to the validity of any claim or a waiver of the Debtors' rights to dispute such claim subsequently.

**BANKRUPTCY RULE 6003(b) IS SATISFIED**

50. Bankruptcy Rule 6003(b) provides that, to the extent relief is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm, a bankruptcy court may approve "a motion to use, sell, lease, or otherwise incur an obligation regarding property of the estate, including a motion to pay all or part of a claim that arose before the filing of the petition" prior to twenty-one (21) days after the Petition Date. FED. R. BANKR. P. 6003(b). As discussed more fully above and in the Carney Declaration, the Debtors and their estates and creditors will be irreparably harmed if the implementation of the Store Closing Procedures, continuation of the Store Closing Sales, and assumption of the Liquidation Consulting Agreement is delayed. Accordingly, the Debtors submit that the relief

requested herein is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm, and, therefore, Bankruptcy Rule 6003 is satisfied.

**BANKRUPTCY RULES 6004(a) AND (h) SHOULD BE WAIVED**

51. To implement the foregoing successfully, the Debtors seek a waiver of the notice requirements under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and the fourteen (14) day stay of an order authorizing the use, sale, or lease of property under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h). Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), “[a]n order authorizing the use, sale, or lease of property other than cash collateral is stayed until the expiration of fourteen (14) days after entry of the order, unless the court orders otherwise.” FED. R. BANKR. P. 6004(h). As explained above and in the First Day Declaration, the relief requested herein is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm to the Debtors. Accordingly, ample cause exists to justify the waiver of the notice requirements under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and the fourteen-day stay imposed by Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), to the extent such stay applies.

**NOTICE**

52. Notice of this Motion will be given to: (a) the U.S. Trustee; (b) the holders of the thirty (30) largest unsecured claims against the Debtors; (c) counsel to the Prepetition Secured Lender; (d) counsel to any Stalking Horse Bidders; (e) the United States Attorney’s Office for the District of Delaware; (f) the Internal Revenue Service; (g) all state and local taxing authorities with an interest in the Assets; (h) the Attorney General for the State of Delaware; (i) all other governmental agencies with an interest in the Store Closing Sales and transactions proposed thereunder; (j) all parties known or reasonably believed to have asserted an Interest in the Store Closing Assets; (k) the Landlords; (l) the Debtors’ insurance carriers; (m) all parties entitled to notice pursuant to Local Rule 9013-1(m); and (n) any party that has requested notice pursuant to

Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Debtors submit that, under the circumstances, no other or further notice is required.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request entry of the Proposed Order granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

Dated: January 27, 2020  
Wilmington, Delaware

Respectfully submitted,

**POLSINELLI PC**

/s/ Christopher A. Ward

Christopher A. Ward (Del. Bar No. 3877)  
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1101  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
Telephone: (302) 252-0920  
Facsimile: (302) 252-0921  
cward@polsinelli.com

-and-

Liz Boydston (*Pro Hac Vice* Pending)  
2950 N. Harwood, Suite 2100  
Dallas, TX 75201  
Telephone: (214) 661-5557  
lboydston@polsinelli.com

*Proposed Counsel to the Debtors and  
Debtors in Possession*

**Exhibit A**

**Proposed Interim Order**

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

LUCKY'S MARKET PARENT COMPANY,  
LLC, *et al.*,<sup>1</sup>

Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 20-10166 (JTD)

(Jointly Administered)

**Re: Docket No.**

**INTERIM ORDER AUTHORIZING (I) PROCEDURES FOR STORE CLOSING SALES,  
AND (II) ASSUMPTION OF THE LIQUIDATION CONSULTING AGREEMENT**

Upon the motion (the “**Motion**”) of Lucky’s Market Parent Company, LLC and its affiliated debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “**Debtors**”), pursuant to sections 105(a), 363, 365, and 554 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”), Rules 6003, 6004, 6006, and 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”), and Rule 9013-1 of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware for entry of an order (this “**Interim Order**”) authorizing, but not directing, the Debtors to implement the Store Closing Procedures and conduct or continue conducting liquidation sales (the “**Store Closing Sales**”) at the Stores, as applicable, and assume the Liquidation Consulting Agreement and granting related relief, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and the Court

---

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are Lucky’s Market Parent Company, LLC (2055), Lucky’s Farmers Market Holding Company, LLC (5480), Lucky’s Market Operating Company, LLC (7064), LFM Stores LLC (3114), Lucky’s Farmers Market, LP (0828), Lucky’s Farmers Market Resource Center, LLC (7711), Lucky’s Market Holding Company 2, LLC (0607), Lucky’s Market GP 2, LLC (9335), Lucky’s Market 2, LP (8384), Lucky’s Market of Longmont, LLC (9789), Lucky’s Farmers Market of Billings, LLC (8088), Lucky’s Farmers Markets of Columbus, LLC (3379), Lucky’s Farmers Market of Rock Hill, LLC (3386), LFM Jackson, LLC (8300), Lucky’s Farmers Market of Ann Arbor, LLC (4067), Lucky’s Market of Gainesville, LLC (7877), Lucky’s Market of Bloomington, LLC (3944), Lucky’s Market of Plantation, LLC (4356), Lucky’s Market of Savannah, GA, LLC (1097), Lucky’s Market of Traverse, City, LLC (2033), Lucky’s Market of Naples, FL, LLC (8700), and Sinoc, Inc. (0723).

having jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated February 29, 2012; and consideration of the Motion and the requested relief being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been provided to the Notice Parties; and such notice having been adequate and appropriate under the circumstances, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having reviewed the Motion; and the Court having held a hearing to consider the relief requested in the Motion on an interim basis (the “**Hearing**”); and upon the First Day Declaration, filed contemporaneously with the Motion, and the record of the Hearing; and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and it appearing that the relief requested in the Motion is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm to the Debtors and their estates as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 6003, and is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, and all parties in interest; and upon all of the proceedings had before the Court and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT**

1. The Motion is granted on an interim basis to the extent set forth herein.
2. The Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to conduct or continue conducting Store Closing Sales, as applicable, at the [ ] non-profitable stores for which no third-party has made or likely will make a valuable offer (the “**Closing Stores**”), and [ ] stores that the Debtors seek to sell to third-party purchasers without inventory (the “**Sold Stores**”) pursuant to the store closing sale procedures attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** (the “**Store Closing Procedures**”), which

Store Closing Procedures are hereby incorporated by reference and approved in their entirety on an interim basis; provided that the Debtors and landlords of any Store are authorized to enter into an agreement modifying the Store Closing Procedures with the consent of the Prepetition Secured Lender without further order of the Court (each, a “**Landlord Agreement**”); provided, further that any such Landlord Agreements shall not have a material adverse effect on the Debtors or their estates. To the extent there is any inconsistency between the Store Closing Procedures, the Liquidation Consulting Agreement, and this Interim Order on the one hand, and a Landlord Agreement on the other hand, the terms of the Landlord Agreement shall control.

3. The Debtors may continue conducting Store Closing Sales pursuant to the Store Closing Procedures at the Closing Stores and Sold Stores listed on **Exhibit B** and **Exhibit C**, respectively, to the Motion. The Debtors may conduct Store Closing Sales in accordance with the terms of this Interim Order at any stores that the Debtors continue to operate (the “**Operating Stores**”, and together with the Sold Stores and the Closing Stores, the “**Stores**”) and, after consulting with the Prepetition Secured Lender, subsequently classify as Closing Stores by filing and serving by email or overnight mail the Notice Parties and any affected counterparty at an affected location with (a) notice of intent to conduct a Store Closing Sale pursuant to this Interim Order (the “**Notice of Intent**”) and (b) a copy of this Interim Order (which may be provided electronically via website link). The Notice Parties or affected parties will have five (5) calendar days from the filing and service of the Notice of Intent to object to the terms of the Store Closing Procedures and request a hearing on the objection. If no objection is filed, the Debtors may conduct Store Closing Sales at such locations, or if an objection is filed, the Debtors may conduct Store Closing Sales at any locations not subject to such objection, in accordance with the terms of this

Interim Order, and such stores shall be considered “Closing Stores” for purposes of this Interim Order and the Store Closing Procedures.

4. The Store Closing Procedures shall apply to all sales of Store Closing Assets at the Stores. To the extent that there is any inconsistency between the Store Closing Procedures and the Liquidation Consulting Agreement on the one hand, and this Interim Order, on the other hand, this Interim Order shall control, and the Store Closing Procedures shall control to the extent there is any inconsistency between such procedures and the Liquidation Consulting Agreement.

5. The Debtors and the Liquidation Consultant are authorized, but not directed, to transfer the Store Closing Assets among the Closing Stores as well as among the Debtors’ stores which are not Closing Stores. The Debtors and the Liquidation Consultant are authorized to sell or abandon the De Minimis Assets (including any such assets that are Store Closing Assets); provided, that to the extent any such assets remain at the Closing Store after the completion of the applicable Store Closing Sales therein or the effective date of rejection of the underlying lease, such assets shall be deemed abandoned to the affected landlord with the right of the landlord (or its designee) to dispose of such property free and clear of all interests and without notice or liability to any party.

6. Pursuant to section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Store Closing Assets being sold shall be sold free and clear of any and all mortgages, security interests, conditional sales or title retention agreements, pledges, hypothecations, liens, judgments, encumbrances or claims of any kind or nature (including, without limitation, any and all “claims” as defined in section 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code) (collectively, the “**Liens and Claims**”), with such Liens and Claims, if any, to attach to the proceeds of such assets with the same validity and enforceability, to the same

extent, subject to the same defenses, and with the same amount and priority as they attached to such assets immediately before the closing of the applicable sale.

7. All entities that are presently in possession of some or all of the Store Closing Assets in which the Debtors hold an interest that are or may be subject to this Interim Order hereby are directed to surrender possession of such Store Closing Assets to the Debtors.

8. No entity, including utilities, landlords, creditors and all persons acting for or on their behalf (but not Governmental Units (as defined in section 101(27) of the Bankruptcy Code)) shall interfere with or otherwise impede the conduct of the Store Closing Sales, or institute any action against the Debtors or landlords in any court (other than in this Court) or before any administrative body which in any way directly or indirectly interferes with, obstructs, or otherwise impedes the conduct of the Store Closing Sales; provided that the Store Closing Sales are conducted in accordance with the terms of this Interim Order, the Store Closing Procedures, and any Landlord Agreement.

9. Any restrictions in any lease agreement, restrictive covenant, or similar documents purporting to limit, condition, or impair the Debtors' ability to conduct the Store Closing Sales shall not be enforceable, nor shall any breach of such provisions in these chapter 11 cases constitute a default under a lease or provide a basis to terminate the lease; provided, that the Store Closing Sales are conducted in accordance with the terms of this Interim Order, the Store Closing Procedures, and any applicable Landlord Agreement.

10. The Closing Stores may "go-dark" during the Store Closing Sales and remain "dark" despite any lease restriction, real estate local act, local law, or ordinance to the contrary, and any "continuous operation" or similar clause in any of the leases (or any lease provision that

purports to increase the rent or impose any penalty for “going dark”) may not be enforced (and the “going dark” under such leases shall not be a basis to cancel or terminate the leases).

11. Nothing in this Interim Order, the Store Closing Procedures or the Liquidation Consulting Agreement releases, nullifies, or enjoins the enforcement of any liability to a Governmental Unit under environmental laws or regulations (or any associated liabilities for penalties, damages, cost recovery, or injunctive relief) to which any entity would be subject as the owner, lessor, lessee, or operator of the property after the date of entry of this Interim Order. Nothing contained in this Interim Order or in the Liquidation Consulting Agreement shall in any way (a) diminish the obligation of any entity to comply with environmental laws, or (b) diminish the obligations of the Debtors to comply with environmental laws consistent with its rights and obligations as debtors in possession under the Bankruptcy Code. The Store Closing Sales shall not be exempt from laws of general applicability, including, without limitation, public health and safety, criminal, tax, labor, employment, environmental, antitrust, fair competition, traffic and consumer protection laws, including consumer laws regulating deceptive practices and false advertising (collectively, “**General Laws**”). Nothing in this Interim Order shall alter or affect obligations to comply with all applicable federal safety laws and regulations. Nothing in this Interim Order shall be deemed to bar any Governmental Unit from enforcing General Laws in the applicable non-bankruptcy forum, subject to the Debtors’ right to assert in that forum or before this Court that any such laws are not in fact General Laws or that such enforcement is impermissible under the Bankruptcy Code, this Interim Order, or otherwise. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Interim Order, no party waives any rights to argue any position with respect to whether the conduct was in compliance with this Interim Order and/or any applicable law, or

that enforcement of such applicable law is preempted by the Bankruptcy Code. Nothing in this Interim Order shall be deemed to have made any rulings on any such issues.

12. To the extent that the Store Closing Sales are subject to any federal, state or local statute, ordinance, or rule, or licensing requirement solely directed at regulating “going out of business,” “store closing,” similar inventory liquidation sales, or bulk sale laws, including laws restricting safe, professional and non-deceptive, customary advertising such as signs, banners, posting of signage, and use of sign-walkers solely in connection with the Store Closing Sales and including ordinances establishing license or permit requirements, waiting periods, time limits or bulk sale restrictions, or any fast pay laws, that would otherwise apply solely to store closing or liquidation sales (each a “**Liquidation Sale Law**” and together, the “**Liquidation Sale Laws**”), the following provisions shall apply:

- a) If the Store Closing Sales are conducted in accordance with the terms of this Interim Order, the Store Closing Procedures and any applicable Landlord Agreement, and in light of the provisions in the laws of many local and state laws that exempt court-ordered sales from their provisions, then the Debtors shall be presumed to be in compliance or otherwise excused from compliance with any Liquidation Sale Laws, and are authorized to conduct the Store Closing Sales in accordance with the terms of this Interim Order without the necessity of compliance with any such Liquidation Sale Laws.
- b) The Debtors shall be presumed to be in compliance with any applicable “fast pay” laws to the extent such payroll payments are made by the later of (i) the Debtors’ next regularly scheduled payroll and (ii) seven (7) calendar days following the termination date of the relevant employee, and in all such cases consistent with, and subject to, any previous orders of this Court regarding payment of same.
- c) To the extent there is a dispute arising from or relating to the Store Closing Sales, this Interim Order, the Liquidation Consulting Agreement, or the Store Closing Procedures, which dispute relates to any Liquidation Sale Laws (a “**Reserved Dispute**”), the Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction to resolve the Reserved Dispute. Any time within ten (10) days following entry of a final order approving the Store Closing Procedures, any Governmental Unit may assert that a Reserved Dispute exists by serving written notice of such Reserved Dispute to counsel for the Debtors so as to

ensure delivery thereof within one (1) business day thereafter. If the Debtors and the Governmental Unit are unable to resolve the Reserved Dispute within fifteen (15) days after service of the notice, the aggrieved party may file a motion with this Court requesting that this Court resolve the Reserved Dispute (a “**Dispute Resolution Motion**”).

- d) In the event a Dispute Resolution Motion is filed, nothing in this Interim Order shall preclude the Debtors, a Landlord, or other interested party from asserting (i) that the provisions of any Liquidation Sale Laws are preempted by the Bankruptcy Code, or (ii) that neither the terms of this Interim Order nor the conduct of the Debtors pursuant to this Interim Order, violates such Liquidation Sale Laws. Filing a Dispute Resolution Motion as set forth herein shall not be deemed to affect the finality of any Order or to limit or interfere with the Debtors’ or the Liquidation Consultant’s ability to conduct or to continue to conduct the Store Closing Sales pursuant to this Interim Order, absent further order of this Court. The Court grants authority for the Debtors and the Liquidation Consultant to conduct the Store Closing Sales pursuant to the terms of this Interim Order and/or the Store Closing Procedures and to take all actions reasonably related thereto or arising in connection therewith. The Governmental Unit shall be entitled to assert any jurisdictional, procedural, or substantive arguments it wishes with respect to the requirements of its Liquidation Sale Laws or the lack of any preemption of such Liquidation Sale Laws by the Bankruptcy Code. Nothing in this Interim Order shall constitute a ruling with respect to any issues to be raised in any Dispute Resolution Motion.
- e) If, at any time, a dispute arises between the Debtors and/or the Liquidation Consultant and a Governmental Unit as to whether a particular law is a Liquidation Sale Law, and subject to any provisions contained in this Interim Order related to the Liquidation Sale Laws, then any party to that dispute may utilize the provisions of subparagraphs (c) and (d) hereunder by serving a notice to the other party and proceeding thereunder in accordance with those paragraphs. Any determination with respect to whether a particular law is a Liquidation Sale Law shall be made *de novo*.

13. The Debtors shall be entitled to use sign walkers, hang signs, or interior or exterior banners advertising the Store Closing Sales in accordance with the Store Closing Procedures and any applicable Landlord Agreement, without further consent of any person. If the use of banners and sign walkers is done in a safe and responsible manner, then such sign walkers and banners, in and of themselves, shall not be deemed to be in violation of General Laws.

14. Subject to paragraphs 11 and 12 above, each and every federal, state, or local agency, departmental or governmental unit with regulatory authority over the Store Closing Sales and all landlords and all newspapers and other advertising media in which the Store Closing Sales are advertised shall consider this Interim Order as binding authority to conduct and advertise the Store Closing Sales in accordance with this Interim Order.

15. State and local authorities shall not fine, assess, or otherwise penalize the Debtors or any of the landlords of the Stores for conducting or advertising the Store Closing Sales in a manner inconsistent with state or local law; provided, that the Store Closing Sales are conducted and advertised in a manner contemplated by this Interim Order.

16. Nothing in this Interim Order in any way limits the Debtors' ability to (a) seek assumption, assignment, or rejection of the Stores' leases, (b) determine that additional stores should be closed and Store Closing Sales or the like be conducted in advance thereof, and (c) abandon assets in connection with Store Closing Sales, lease rejections, or otherwise, and the Debtors' rights are fully reserved in these regards.

17. All of the Store Closing Sales shall be "as is" and final. However, as to the Stores, all state and federal laws relating to implied warranties for latent defects shall be complied with and are not superseded by the sale of such goods or the use of the terms "as is" or "final sales." As to the Stores, the Debtors shall accept return of any goods purchased during the Store Closing Sales that contain a defect which the lay consumer could not reasonably determine was defective by visual inspection prior to purchase for a full refund; provided that the consumer must return the merchandise within seven (7) days of purchase, the consumer must provide a receipt, and the asserted defect must in fact be a "latent" defect. Returns, if permitted, related to the purchase of

Store Closing Assets shall not be accepted at stores that are not participating in the Store Closing Sales.

18. To the extent that the Debtors propose to sell or abandon any De Minimis Assets which may contain personal and/or confidential information about the Debtors' employees and/or customers (the "**Confidential Information**"), the Debtors shall remove the Confidential Information from such De Minimis Assets before such sale or abandonment.

19. The Debtors are directed to remit all taxes arising from the Store Closing Sales to the applicable Governmental Units as and when due, provided that in the case of a bona fide dispute the Debtors are only directed to pay such taxes upon the resolution of the dispute, if and to the extent that the dispute is decided in favor of the applicable Governmental Unit. For the avoidance of doubt, sales taxes collected and held in trust by the Debtors shall not be used to pay any creditor or any other party, other than the applicable Governmental Unit for which the sales taxes are collected. This Interim Order does not enjoin, suspend, or restrain the assessment, levy, or collection of any tax under state law, and does not constitute a declaratory judgment with respect to any party's liability for taxes under state law.

20. With respect to any De Minimis Assets (including any such assets that are Store Closing Assets) abandoned at one of the Debtors' leased properties, the applicable landlord or other designee shall be free to dispose of such property without liability to any party and without further notice or order of the Court.

21. The Debtors are authorized to pay those reasonable and necessary fees and expenses incurred in the sale, transfer, or abandonment of the De Minimis Assets, including reasonable commission fees to agents, brokers, auctioneers, and liquidators, if any.

22. The Liquidation Consulting Agreement, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**, is operative and effective on an interim basis. The Debtors are authorized to continue to act and perform in accordance with the terms of the Liquidation Consulting Agreement, including to make all payments to the Liquidation Consultant as and when due.

23. All amounts payable to the Liquidation Consultant under the Liquidation Consulting Agreement on account of fees earned or reimbursable expenses incurred between the Petition Date and the date that a final order on the Motion is entered shall be payable to the Liquidation Consultant without any further order of the Court provided that Great American shall provide invoices to the Debtors, any official committee appointed in these chapter 11 cases, and the Prepetition Secured Lender when such compensation becomes due and owing. Such parties shall have five (5) days to dispute any such invoice, which dispute shall be decided by the Court unless resolved by the parties.

24. Subject to the terms of this Interim Order and the proposed Store Closing Procedures, the Debtors and the Liquidation Consultant are hereby authorized to take any and all actions as may be necessary or desirable to implement the Liquidation Consulting Agreement and all other actions authorized by this Interim Order, and any actions taken by the Debtors and the Liquidation Consultant necessary or desirable to implement the Liquidation Consulting Agreement or the Store Closing Sales prior to the date of this Interim Order, are hereby approved and ratified.

25. On a confidential basis and upon the written request (including email) of the Prepetition Secured Lender, the Debtors shall provide the Prepetition Secured Lender with copies of periodic reports concerning the Store Closing Sales that are prepared by the Debtors, their professionals, or the Liquidation Consultant; provided that the foregoing shall not require the Debtors, their professionals, or the Liquidation Consultant to prepare or undertake to prepare any

additional or new reporting not otherwise being prepared by the Debtors, their professionals, or the Liquidation Consultant in connection with the Store Closing Sales.

26. Nothing in the Motion or this Interim Order shall be deemed to authorize the Debtors to accelerate any payments not otherwise due prior to the date of the hearing to consider entry of an order granting the relief requested in the Motion on a final basis (the “**Final Hearing**”).

27. Notwithstanding the relief granted in this Order, any payment made by the Debtors pursuant to the authority granted herein shall be subject to and in compliance with any order authorizing the Debtors to use cash collateral and any budget in connection therewith.

28. The requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 6003(b) have been satisfied.

29. Under the circumstances of these chapter 11 cases, notice of the Motion is adequate under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a).

30. Notwithstanding Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), this Interim Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

31. The Final Hearing on the Motion shall be held on \_\_\_\_\_, **2020**, at \_\_\_\_\_ (**Prevailing Eastern Time**) and any objections or responses to the Motion shall be in writing, filed with the Court, and served upon (a) Lucky’s Market Parent Company, LLC, 6328 Monarch Park Place, Niwot, CO 80503, Attn: Maria Woods (mariaw@luckysmarket.com); (b) proposed counsel to the Debtors, Polsinelli PC, 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1101, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn: Christopher A. Ward (cward@polsinelli.com); (c) counsel to the official committee of unsecured creditors, if one is appointed; (d) counsel for Prepetition Secured Lender, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153, Attn: Garrett Fail (Garrett.fail@weil.com), and Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A., 920 N King St. Suite 200, Wilmington, Delaware 1980, Attn: Zachary Shapiro (shapiro@rlf.com); and (e) the United States

Trustee for the District of Delaware, 844 King Street, Suite 2207, Lockbox 35, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Timothy Fox (timothy.fox@usdoj.gov).

32. This Interim Order is effective only from the date of entry through this Court's disposition of the Motion on a final basis; provided that the Court's ultimate disposition of the Motion on a final basis shall not impair or otherwise affect any action taken pursuant to this Interim Order.

33. The Debtors shall serve this Interim Order within two (2) business days of its entry on the Notice Parties.

34. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to implement the relief granted in this Interim Order.

35. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and/or enforcement of this Interim Order.

Dated: January \_\_\_\_, 2020  
Wilmington, Delaware

---

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

**Exhibit 1**

**Store Closing Procedures**

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

LUCKY’S MARKET PARENT COMPANY,  
LLC, *et al.*,<sup>1</sup>

Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 20-10166 (JTD)

(Jointly Administered)

**STORE CLOSING PROCEDURES**

1. The Store Closing Sales<sup>2</sup> will be conducted during normal business hours at the applicable Stores or such hours as otherwise permitted by the applicable unexpired lease; provided, that the Debtors may, in their discretion, modify the business hours a necessary or advisable, but no longer than normal operating hours as provided in the applicable leases.
2. The Store Closing Sales will be conducted in accordance with applicable state and local “Blue Laws” and, thus, if applicable, no Store Closing Sales will be conducted on Sunday unless the Debtors have been operating the applicable Stores on Sundays.
3. On “shopping center” property, neither the Debtors nor the Liquidation Consultant shall distribute handbills, leaflets, or other written materials to customers outside of and Stores’ premises, unless permitted by the applicable lease or if distribution is customary in the “shopping center” in which such Store is located; provided, that the Debtors and the Liquidation Consultant may solicit customers in such stores themselves.
4. The Debtors and the Liquidation Consultant shall have the right to sell or transfer the furniture, fixtures, and equipment (the “FF&E” and, together with the applicable inventory, the “Store Closing Assets”) located at the Closing Stores, and any such transactions shall be free and clear of all liens, claims, interests, and other encumbrances. The Debtors and

---

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are Lucky’s Market Parent Company, LLC (2055), Lucky’s Farmers Market Holding Company, LLC (5480), Lucky’s Market Operating Company, LLC (7064), LFM Stores LLC (3114), Lucky’s Farmers Market, LP (0828), Lucky’s Farmers Market Resource Center, LLC (7711), Lucky’s Market Holding Company 2, LLC (0607), Lucky’s Market GP 2, LLC (9335), Lucky’s Market 2, LP (8384), Lucky’s Market of Longmont, LLC (9789), Lucky’s Farmers Market of Billings, LLC (8088), Lucky’s Farmers Markets of Columbus, LLC (3379), Lucky’s Farmers Market of Rock Hill, LLC (3386), LFM Jackson, LLC (8300), Lucky’s Farmers Market of Ann Arbor, LLC (4067), Lucky’s Market of Gainesville, LLC (7877), Lucky’s Market of Bloomington, LLC (3944), Lucky’s Market of Plantation, LLC (4356), Lucky’s Market of Savannah, GA, LLC (1097), Lucky’s Market of Traverse, City, LLC (2033), Lucky’s Market of Naples, FL, LLC (8700), and Sinoc, Inc. (0723).

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used herein but not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in that certain *Interim Order Authorizing (I) Procedures for Store Closing Sales, and (II) Assumption of Liquidation Consulting Agreement* .

the Liquidation Consultant may advertise the sale of the FF&E in a manner consistent with these Store Closing Procedures. The purchasers of any FF&E sold during a Store Closing Sale shall be permitted to remove the FF&E either through the back or alternative shipping areas of the applicable Closing Store at any time, or through other areas after the Closing Store's business hours; provided that, the foregoing shall not apply to de minimis FF&E sales made whereby the item can be carried out of the Closing Store in a shopping bag.

5. The Debtors may abandon any De Minimis Assets (including any such assets that are Store Closing Assets) not sold in the Store Closing Sales at the Closing Stores at the conclusion of the Store Closing Sales; provided that, if the Debtors propose selling or abandoning such assets, which may contain personal or confidential information about the Debtors' employees or customers, the Debtors shall remove the Confidential Information from such items of assets before such sale or abandonment, and retain such Confidential Information until further order of the Court.
6. The Debtors and the Liquidation Consultant may, but are not required to, advertise all of the Store Closing Sales as "sale on everything," "everything must go," or similarly themed sales. The Debtors and the Liquidation Consultant may also advertise each sale as a "store closing" and have a "countdown to closing" sign prominently displayed in a manner consistent with these Store Closing Procedures.
7. If Store Closing Sales are to be considered "final," conspicuous signs will be posted in each of the affected stores to the effect that all sales are "final."
8. The Debtors and the Liquidation Consultant shall be permitted to utilize display, hanging signs, and interior banners in connection with the Store Closing Sale. All display and hanging signs used by the Debtors in connection with the Store Closing Sales will be professionally lettered and all hanging signs will be hung in a professional manner. In addition, the Debtors will be permitted to utilize exterior banners and signwalkers, provided that such use is in a safe and professional manner. Nothing contained in these Store Closing Procedures shall be construed to create or impose upon the Debtors or the Liquidation Consultant any additional restrictions not contained in any applicable lease agreement.
9. Neither the Debtors nor the Liquidation Consultant shall make any alterations to the storefront, roof, or exterior walls of any Stores or "shopping centers," or interior or exterior store lighting and will not use any type of amplified sound to advertise the Store Closing Sales or solicit customers, except as authorized by the applicable lease. The hanging of signage as provided herein shall not constitute an alteration to any Store.
10. Landlords will have the ability to negotiate with the Debtors, or at the Debtors' direction, the Liquidation Consultant, any particular modifications to these Store Closing Procedures. The Debtors and the landlord of any Store are authorized to enter into agreements modifying these Store Closing Procedures with the consent of the Prepetition Secured Lender (each, a "**Landlord Agreement**") without further order of the Court; provided, that such agreements do not have a material adverse effect on the Debtors or their estates.
11. No property of any landlord or other non-Debtor third party will be removed or sold during the Store Closing Sales.

12. The Debtors will keep store premises and surrounding areas clear and orderly, consistent with past practices.
13. The Debtors do not have to comply with Liquidation Sale Laws or lease provisions or covenants that are inconsistent with these Store Closing Procedures.
14. An unexpired nonresidential real property lease will not be deemed rejected by reason of a Store Closing Sale or the adoption of these Store Closing Procedures.
15. The rights of landlords against the Debtors for any damages to any Store shall be reserved in accordance with the provisions of the applicable lease.
16. The Liquidation Consultant and its respective agents and representatives shall continue to have exclusive and unfettered access to each Store until and unless the Debtors reject or assign the underlying lease.
17. No landlord, licensor, property owner, or property manager shall prohibit, restrict, or otherwise interfere with any Store Closing Sale at any Store.
18. If the landlord of any Store contends that the Debtors or the Liquidation Consultant is in breach of or default under these Store Closing Procedures (an "Alleged Default"), such landlord shall provide the Debtors with at least seven (7) days' written notice (the "Default Notice Period") of the Alleged Default, which notice shall include the opportunity for the Debtors to cure such Alleged Default within seven (7) days of the expiration of the Default Notice Period (the "Default Cure Period"), served by email or overnight delivery, on:

Lucky's Market Parent Company, LLC  
6328 Monarch Park Place,  
Niwot, CO 80503  
Attn: Maria Woods  
Email: mariaw@luckysmarket.com

with a copy (which shall not constitute notice) to:

Polsinelli PC  
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1101  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
Attn: Christopher Ward  
Email: cward@polsinelli.com

If the parties are unable to resolve the Alleged Default at the end of the Default Cure Period, either the landlord or the Debtors shall have the right to schedule a hearing before the Court on no less than five (5) days' written notice to the other party, served by email or overnight delivery. The Debtors shall provide any notice of an Alleged Default to counsel to the Prepetition Secured Lender within one (1) day of the Debtors' receipt of such notice.

19. These Store Closing Procedures are subject to the requirements of the interim and final orders entered by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware in connection with the Debtors executing the Store Closing Sales.

**Exhibit 2**

**Liquidation Consulting Agreement**

**Exhibit B****Closing Stores**

<b>Store</b>	<b>Store #</b>	<b>Address</b>
LMT	04	700 Ken Pratt Blvd., Suite 201, Longmont, CO
BIL	06	1603 Grand Ave., Ste. 135, Billings, MT
LOU	12	200 N. Hurstbourne Pkwy., Louisville, KY
GVL	16	1459 NW 23rd Ave., Gainesville, FL
ANR	11	1919 S. Industrial Highway, Ann Arbor, MI
JAK	17	974 West Broadway, Jackson, WY
BLM	18	2424 S. Walnut St., Bloomington, IN
RKH	14	9530 Manchester Road, St. Louis, MO
SAV	21	5501 Abercorn Street, Savannah, GA
NAP	24	3815 Tamiami Trail East, Naples, FL
CRS	23	9184 Wiles Road, Coral Springs, FL
ORL	25	11750 E. Colonial Dr., Orlando, FL
SBO	27	695 S. Broadway, Boulder, CO
TAL	29	1964 W. Tennessee St., Ste. 10, Tallahassee, FL
PLN	19	7700 Peters Road, Plantation, FL
NEP	28	580 Atlantic Blvd., Neptune Beach, FL
OAK	36	1033 East Oakland Park Blvd., Oakland Park, FL
SAR	33	3501 S. Tamiami Trail, Sarasota, FL
PAN	34	2329 Hwy 77, Panama City, FL
SPR	37	3333 S. Glenstone Ave, Suite 140, Springfield, MO
CMT	42	1720 East Highway 50, Clermont, FL
MIS	38	2901 Brooks Street, Unit M-1, Missoula, MT
STP	32	6765 22nd Avenue N., St. Petersburg, FL
WRG	41	3545 Wadsworth Blvd., Wheat Ridge, CO
HCR	43	4169 W. Town Center Blvd., Orlando, FL
WPK	45	7580 University Blvd., Winter Park, FL
SOR	44	3171 South Orange Ave., Orlando, FL
VIN	50	12005 Regency Village Dr., Orlando, FL
NNP	57	6420-6434 North Naples Blvd., North Naples, FL
OMB	64	101 East Granada Blvd., Ormond Beach, FL
OKL	55	8396 Merchants Way, Jacksonville, FL
COL	52	3230 E. Colonial Dr., Orlando, FL

**Exhibit C**

**Sold Stores**

<b>Store</b>	<b>Store #</b>	<b>Address</b>
GVL	16	1459 NW 23rd Ave., Gainesville, FL
NAP	24	3815 Tamiami Trail East, Naples, FL
NEP	28	580 Atlantic Blvd., Neptune Beach, FL
CMT	42	1720 East Highway 50, Clermont, FL
SOR	44	3171 South Orange Ave., Orlando, FL
OMB	64	101 East Granada Blvd., Ormond Beach, FL

**Exhibit D**

**Operating Stores**

<b>Store</b>	<b>Store #</b>	<b>Address</b>
NBO	01	3960 Broadway, Boulder, CO
CBS	08	2770 North High St., Columbus, OH
CBI	09	111 South Providence Rd., Columbia, MO
MEL	31	3170 W. New Haven Ave., Melbourne, FL
TVC	22	3587 Market Place, Traverse City, MI
CLE	30	11620 Clifton Blvd., Cleveland, OH
FTC	47	425 S. College Avenue, Fort Collins, CO

**Exhibit E****FF&E To be Sold by Great American**

Store	Store #	Address	Included or Deferred
LMT	04	700 Ken Pratt Blvd., Suite 201, Longmont, CO	Included
BIL	06	1603 Grand Ave., Ste. 135, Billings, MT	Included
LOU	12	200 N. Hurstbourne Pkwy., Louisville, KY	Included
ANR	11	1919 S. Industrial Highway, Ann Arbor, MI	Included
JAK	17	974 West Broadway, Jackson, WY	Included
BLM	18	2424 S. Walnut St., Bloomington, IN	Included
RKH	14	9530 Manchester Road, St. Louis, MO	Included
SAV	21	5501 Abercorn Street, Savannah, GA	Included
ORL	25	11750 E. Colonial Dr., Orlando, FL	Included
SBO	27	695 S. Broadway, Boulder, CO	Included
TAL	29	1964 W. Tennessee St., Ste. 10, Tallahassee, FL	Included
PLN	19	7700 Peters Road, Plantation, FL	Included
SPR	37	3333 S. Glenstone Ave, Suite 140, Springfield, MO	Included
MIS	38	2901 Brooks Street, Unit M-1, Missoula, MT	Included
STP	32	6765 22nd Avenue N., St. Petersburg, FL	Included
WRG	41	3545 Wadsworth Blvd., Wheat Ridge, CO	Included
HCR	43	4169 W. Town Center Blvd., Orlando, FL	Included
OKL	55	8396 Merchants Way, Jacksonville, FL	Included
ODC	74	6375 Emperor Drive, Orlando, FL	Included
SUP	90	6328 Monarch Park Place, #100, Niwot, CO	Included
PTC	56	19400 Cochran Blvd., Suite 160, Port Charlotte, FL	Included
DNB	58	181 S Bryan Rd., Dania Beach, FL	Included
NBO	01	3960 Broadway, Boulder, CO	Deferred
CBS	08	2770 North High St., Columbus, OH	Deferred
CBI	09	111 South Providence Rd., Columbia, MO	Deferred
GVL	16	1459 NW 23rd Ave., Gainesville, FL	Deferred
NAP	24	3815 Tamiami Trail East, Naples, FL	Deferred
CRS	23	9184 Wiles Road, Coral Springs, FL	Deferred
NEP	28	580 Atlantic Blvd., Neptune Beach, FL	Deferred
MEL	31	3170 W. New Haven Ave., Melbourne, FL	Deferred
TVC	22	3587 Market Place, Traverse City, MI	Deferred
OAK	36	1033 East Oakland Park Blvd., Oakland Park, FL	Deferred
SAR	33	3501 S. Tamiami Trail, Sarasota, FL	Deferred
PAN	34	2329 Hwy 77, Panama City, FL	Deferred
CMT	42	1720 East Highway 50, Clermont, FL	Deferred
CLE	30	11620 Clifton Blvd., Cleveland, OH	Deferred
WPK	45	7580 University Blvd., Winter Park, FL	Deferred
SOR	44	3171 South Orange Ave., Orlando, FL	Deferred
VIN	50	12005 Regency Village Dr., Orlando, FL	Deferred
FTC	47	425 S. College Avenue, Fort Collins, CO	Deferred
NNP	57	6420-6434 North Naples Blvd., North Naples, FL	Deferred
OMB	64	101 East Granada Blvd., Ormond Beach, FL	Deferred
COL	52	3230 E. Colonial Dr., Orlando, FL	Deferred
BSP	39	13583 Tamiami Trail North, Naples, FL	Deferred
FTM	53	13300 S. Cleveland Ave, Ft Myers, FL	Deferred
LKM	54	211 Wheelhouse Ln., Lake Mary, FL	Deferred
KEN	68	12690 SW 88th St., Miami (Kendall), FL	Deferred

**Exhibit F****Great American Budget****Stores Support Based on 22 Locations**

Deal Lead	\$	33,363.00
Travel To and From Deal	\$	1,500.00
Regional Supervisors -10	\$	201,150.00
Travel To and From Deal	\$	15,000.00
Misc Expenses per Store to Include Signs @ \$500 Per	\$	10,000.00

**Corp Travel**


---

<b>\$</b>	<b>15,000.00</b>
-----------	------------------

---

**Total Estimate Expenses - Included Stores**


---

<b>\$</b>	<b>276,013.00</b>
-----------	-------------------

---

**Optional - Deferred Stores****Stores Support Based on 25 Deferred Locations**

Regional Supervisors - 13	\$	261,495.00
Travel To and From Deal	\$	19,250.00
Misc Expenses per Store to Include Signs @ \$500 Per	\$	12,500.00

**Corp Travel**


---

<b>\$</b>	<b>5,000.00</b>
-----------	-----------------

---

**Total Estimate Expenses - Deferred Stores**


---

<b>\$</b>	<b>298,245.00</b>
-----------	-------------------

---