

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA AND
DELAWARE BSA, LLC,¹

Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 20-10343 (LSS)

(Jointly Administered)

Re: D.I. 9251

**CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL REGARDING FINAL PRETRIAL
ORDER FOR THE PLAN CONFIRMATION HEARING**

The undersigned counsel to the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (the “Debtors”) hereby certifies as follows:

1. On March 9, 2022, the Debtors filed a revised proposed pretrial order under certification of counsel [D.I. 9251] (the “Proposed Pretrial Order”). At the time of filing the certification of counsel, the Debtors understood the following parties approved the Revised Pretrial Order: the Certain Insurers, RCAHC, Jane Doe (Claimant), TCC, Ad Hoc Committee of Local Councils, JPM, Zalkin and Pfau, and the Coalition, but has since learned that Hartford also supported the prior version.

2. After filing the Proposed Pretrial Order, the Debtors conducted further meet and confers, on March 9 at 8:00 p.m. (ET) and March 10 at 9:00 a.m. (ET) with the parties to attempt to reach an agreement on the Proposed Pretrial Order. All Participating Parties were invited to attend both meet and confers.

3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a further revised proposed order (the

¹ The Debtors in the chapter 11 cases, together with the last four digits of each Debtors’ federal tax identification number, are as follows: Boy Scouts of America (6300) and Delaware BSA, LLC (4311). The Debtors’ mailing address is 1325 W. Walnut Hill Ln., Irving, TX 75038.

“Revised Proposed Pretrial Order”). Following the meet and confer on March 10, the Debtors circulated the Revised Proposed Pretrial Order to the Participating Parties and have received approval from the Certain Insurers, RCAHC, Jane Doe (Claimant), TCC, Ad Hoc Committee of Local Councils, JPM, FCR, Hartford, UCC, Coalition, Pfau and Zalkin, and Everest National Insurance Company to submit the Revised Proposed Pretrial Order. The Debtors also made non-substantive changes since circulating the Revised Proposed Pretrial Order to correct typographic errors and added further description to the manner of testimony of Charles Bates in the Debtors’ witness section to indicate that in addition to live testimony there would also be a limited declaration. The undersigned understands that the Guam Committee and Lujan Claimants do not consent to entry of the Revised Proposed Pretrial Order to the extent that the order does not include the “Gating Issues to Be Addressed Prior to the Commencement of Trial” included in the Guam Committee’s revised proposed order filed on March 9, 2022 [D.I. 9244]. As of the time of filing this certification of counsel the Debtors have not heard from any other Participating Party with respect to the Revised Proposed Pretrial Order; however, based on the meet and confers the Debtors believe that with the exception of the Guam Committee and Lujan Claimants, no other Participating Parties oppose entry of the Revised Proposed Pretrial Order.

4. Attached as **Exhibit B** is a redline of the Revised Proposed Pretrial Order against the Proposed Pretrial Order.

WHEREFORE, the Participating Parties are available if the Court has any questions regarding the Revised Proposed Pretrial Order attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

Dated: March 10, 2022
Wilmington, Delaware

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Derek C. Abbott

Derek C. Abbott (No. 3376)
Andrew R. Remming (No. 5120)
Paige N. Topper (No. 6470)
Tori L. Remington (No. 6901)
1201 North Market Street, 16th Floor
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-1347
Telephone: (302) 658-9200
Email: dabbott@morrisnichols.com
aremming@morrisnichols.com
ptopper@morrisnichols.com
tremington@morrisnichols.com

– and –

WHITE & CASE LLP

Jessica C. Lauria (admitted *pro hac vice*)
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
Telephone: (212) 819-8200
Email: jessica.lauria@whitecase.com

– and –

WHITE & CASE LLP

Michael C. Andolina (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Matthew E. Linder (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Laura E. Baccash (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Blair M. Warner (admitted *pro hac vice*)
111 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: (312) 881-5400
Email: mandolina@whitecase.com
mlinder@whitecase.com
laura.baccash@whitecase.com
blair.warner@whitecase.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEBTORS AND
DEBTORS IN POSSESSION

Exhibit A

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

)
) Chapter 11
 In re:)
)
) Case No. 20-10343 (LSS)
 BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA AND DELAWARE)
 BSA, LLC,¹)
) (Jointly Administered)
)
)
 Debtors.)
)

FINAL PRETRIAL ORDER FOR THE PLAN CONFIRMATION HEARING

This Pre-Trial Order addresses the issues to be presented and the witnesses to be called by the Boy Scouts of America and Delaware BSA, LLC (“Debtors”) and other parties during the Confirmation Hearing starting on March 14, 2022.² This information has been compiled to the best of the parties’ ability, based on the information provided to date by the parties supporting the Plan and the parties objecting to the Plan, who are listed below.

The Debtors filed their *Third Modified Fifth Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization for Boy Scouts of America and Delaware BSA* on February 15, 2022 [Docket No. 8813] (the “Plan”), which sets forth the legal and factual issues to be heard at the Plan confirmation hearing. Objections and Supplemental Objections have been filed by the Objecting Parties identified below, and Replies have been filed by the Debtors and certain of the other parties supporting the Plan.

¹ The Debtors in these Chapter 11 Cases, together with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are as follows: Boy Scouts of America (6300) and Delaware BSA, LLC (4311). The Debtors' mailing address is 1325 West Walnut Hill Lane, Irving, Texas 75038.

² The parties reserve all rights regarding any topics not specifically addressed by this order. For the avoidance of doubt, this order is not intended to abrogate any rules of evidence or procedure.

Arguments and issues covered in the Plan, Objections to the Plan, and Replies in support of the Plan will not be repeated in this order.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Debtors are seeking confirmation of the Plan and will put on evidence in support of confirmation pursuant to section 1129 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101–1532 (the “Bankruptcy Code”).³ The Plan is supported by the following groups, some of whom filed briefs in support of the Plan and may also present evidence at the Confirmation Hearing in support thereof: the AHCLC; the Coalition; TCJC; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.; the UCC; the FCR; the TCC; the United Methodist Ad Hoc Committee; The Zalkin Law Firm, P.C.; Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala PLLC; certain law firms representing Abuse Claimants; and the Roman Catholic Diocese of Paterson, New Jersey. In addition, four different groups of Settling Insurance Companies support approval of their settlements which are incorporated in the Plan, two of which have filed briefs in support thereof.

As outlined below, a number of parties have filed objections to the Plan, some of whom will present evidence at the Confirmation Hearing. The parties that intend to present evidence in opposition to the Plan at the Confirmation Hearing include, but are not limited to, Abuse Claimants represented by certain law firms, Abuse Claimants appearing pro se, the Roman Catholic Ad Hoc Committee (“RCAHC”), the Girl Scouts of America, seventeen insurers who issued policies to BSA and/or BSA Local Councils, and the U.S. Trustee.

³ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this Pretrial Order have the meanings ascribed to them in the Plan or as set forth herein.

II. THE PARTIES

A. Debtors' Counsel:

1. Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell, LLP; Haynes & Boone, LLP; White & Case, LLP

B. Objectors to the Plan and Their Respective Counsel:

1. **AIG Companies:** Fineman Krekstein & Harris, PC; Foran Glennon Palandech Ponzi & Rudloff, PC; Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
2. **Allianz Global Risks US Insurance Company, Interstate Fire & Casualty Company, National Surety Corporation, collectively referred to as "Allianz Insurers":** Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders, LLP; Parker, Hudson, Rainer & Dobbs; McDermott Will & Emery LLP; Bradley & Riley PC
3. **Arch Insurance Company:** Smith, Katzenstein & Jenkins LLP; Hangley Aronchick Segal Pudlin & Schiller
4. **The Archbishop of Agaña, a Corporation Sole, Chapter 11 Debtor-in-Possession, District of Guam, Territory of Guam, Bankruptcy Division, Case 19-00010:** Gilbert Scali Busenkell & Brown, LLC
5. **The Archdiocese of New York Parishes and Related Entities:** Archer & Greiner, P.C.
6. **Argonaut Insurance Company and Colony Insurance Company:** Post & Schell, P.C.; Ifrah PLLC
7. **Arrowood Indemnity Company:** Joyce, LLC; Coughlin Midlidge & Garland, LLP; Carruthers & Roth, P.A.
8. **AT&T Corp.:** McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP; Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer, LLP
9. **The Continental Insurance Company and Colombia Casualty Company:** Goldstein & McClintock LLLP; Loeb & Loeb LLP
10. **Dumas & Vaughn, LLC Claimants:** Bielli & Klauder, LLC; Dumas & Vaughn, LLC
11. **Eric Pai, as Administrator of the Estate of Jarred Pai:** Sullivan, Hazeltine, Allison, LLC
12. **Everest National Insurance Company:** Carlton Fields, P.A.

13. **Gemini Insurance Company:** Werb & Sullivan; Gieger Laborde & Laperouse LLC; Kiernan Trebach LLP
14. **General Star Indemnity Company:** Smith, Katzenstein & Jenkins LLP; Wiley Rein LLP
15. **Girl Scouts of America:** Dorsey & Whitney (Delaware) LLP; Dorsey & Whitney, LLP
16. **Great American Assurance Company, f/k/a Agricultural Insurance Company; Great American E & S Insurance Company, f/k/a Agricultural Excess and Surplus Insurance Company; and Great American E & S Insurance Company:** Bodell Bové, LLC; Clyde & Co US LLP; David Christian Attorneys LLC
17. **I.G. (Claimant), plaintiff in a matter pending before the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, Southern Division styled *I.G. v. Ozark Trails Counsel, Inc. and Scott Wortman*, Case NO. 6:20-cv-03059-SRB:** Gellert Scali Busenkell & Brown, LLC
18. **Indian Harbor Insurance Company, on behalf of itself and as successor in interest to Catlin Specialty Insurance Company:** Smith, Katzenstein & Jenkins LLP; Mound Cotton Wollan & Greengrass LLP
19. **Jane Doe (Claimant), plaintiff in a matter pending before the Fairfield Judicial Superior Court of the State of Connecticut styled *Jane Doe v. Town of Trumbull, Learning for Life Inc., Boy Scouts of America Corporation, William Ruscoe, Thomas Kiely, Timothy Fendor*, pending in, No. FBT CV 19 5039311 S:** Chapman Brown Cicero & Cole, LLP
20. **Liberty Mutual Insurance Company:** Seitz, Van Ogtrop & Green, P.A.; Choate, Hall & Stewart, LLP; Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Globsky and Popeo PC
21. **Linder Sattler Rogowsky LLP Claimants:** Klein LLC; Linder, Sattler, Rogowsky, LLP
22. **Lujan & Wolff LLP Claimants:** Loizides, P.A.; Lujan & Wolff, LLP
23. **Markel Service, Incorporated, Claim Service Manager for Evanston Insurance Company (“Evanston”) and Alterra Excess & Surplus Insurance Company (“Alterr”), collectively referred to as “Markel Insurers”:** Greenberg Traurig, LLP; Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP
24. **Munich Reinsurance America, Inc. formerly known as American Re-Insurance Company:** Dilworth Paxson, LLP

25. **National Surety Corporation and Interstate Fire & Casualty Company:** Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP; Parker, Hudson, Rainer & Dobbs; Bradley Riley Jacobs PC
26. **The Norwich Roman Catholic Diocesan Corporation, a chapter 11 debtor and debtor-in-possession in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut (Case NO. 21-20687 (JJT)):** Gellert Scali Busenkell & Brown, LLC; Ice Miller LLP
27. **Office of the United States Trustee for Region 3:** United States Department of Justice
28. **Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors appointed in *In re: Archbishop of Agaña* (Bankr. D. Guam 19-00010):** Hiller Law, LLC; Stinson LLP
29. **Old Republic Insurance Company:** Morris James LLP; Fox Swibel Levin & Carroll LLP
30. **Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala PLLC and The Zalkin Law Firm, P.C.:** Beilli & Klauder, LLC; KTBS Law LLP⁴
31. **Rejecting Parker Waichman Abuse Survivor Clients:** Burr & Forman, LLP; Parker Waichman, LLP
32. **Roman Catholic Ad Hoc Committee (“RCAHC”):** Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP; ArentFox Schiff LLP
33. **Traders and Pacific Insurance Company, Endurance American Specialty Insurance Company, and Endurance American Insurance Company (the “Sompo Insurers”):** Cozen O’Connor
34. **Travelers Casualty and Surety Company, Inc. (f/k/a Aetna Casualty & Surety Company), St. Paul Surplus Lines Insurance Company and Gulf Insurance Company:** Reger Rizzo & Darnall LLP
35. **William L. McCalister, Jr.:** Gellert Scali Busenkell & Brown, LLC; Gunn, Lee & Cave, P.C.

C. Supporters of the Plan (and/or of Settlements Incorporated Therein) and Their Respective Counsel:

1. **Ad Hoc Committee of Local Councils of the Boy Scouts of America (“AHCLC”):** DLA Piper, LLP (US); Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz

⁴ Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala PLLC and The Zalkin Law Firm, P.C. object only to certain provisions of the TCJC Settlement and are otherwise supporters of the Plan.

2. **American Zurich Insurance Company, American Guarantee and Liability Insurance Company, and Steadfast Insurance Company (collectively, the “Zurich Insurers”):** Tybout, Redfearn & Pell; Crowell & Moring LLP.
3. **Century Indemnity Company, as successor to CCI Insurance Company, as successor to Insurance Company of North America and Indemnity Insurance Company of North America (the “Century Insurers”):** Stamoulis & Weinblatt LLC; O’Melveny & Myers LLP
4. **Clarendon National Insurance Company (as successor in interest by merger to Clarendon America Insurance Company), River Thames Insurance Company Limited (as successor in interest to UnionAmerica Insurance Company Limited), and Zurich American Insurance Company (as successor in interest to Maryland Casualty Company, Zurich Insurance Company and American General Fire & Casualty Company):** Steptoe & Johnson LLP; Ballard Spahr LLP
5. **The Coalition of Abused Scouts for Justice (“The Coalition”):**⁵ Monzack Mersky and Browder, P.A.; Brown Rudnick, LLP
6. **The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, a Utah corporation sole (“TCJC”):** Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.; Latham & Watkins, LLP
7. **The Diocese of Patterson, New Jersey:** Warren Martin, Porzio Bromberg & Newman, 100 Southgate Parkway P.O. Box 1997, Morristown, NJ 07962-1997.
8. **The Unsecured Creditors’ Committee:** Kramer, Levin, Naftalis & Frankel, LLP
9. **Eisenberg, Rothweiler, Winnkler, Eisenberg & Jeck, P.C. (“Eisenberg Firm”):** Hogan McDaniel
10. **First State Insurance Company, Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company, Twin City Fire Insurance Company, and Navigators Specialty Insurance Company (collectively, the “Hartford Insurers”):** Bayard, P.A.; Ruggeri Parks Weinberg LLP; Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, LLP
11. **Future Claimants’ Representative (“FCR”):** Young, Conaway, Stargatt & Taylor, LLP; Gilbert, LLP

⁵ Although the Coalition and FCR support the Plan, they continue to press their limited objection (see (I) Motion for Entry of an Order (A)(1) Striking Portions of Bates Rebuttal Report and the Entire Bates Supplemental Report and (2) Precluding Testimony Related to Improper Valuation Opinion or (B) in the Alternative, Granting Movants Leave to Submit a Rebuttal Report; and (II) Limited Objection To Confirmation Of The Plan [D.I. 8771]). The Coalition and FCR reserve all rights on these issues, and nothing herein shall be construed as a waiver of rights, claims, or defenses.

12. **JPMorgan Chase Bank National Association:** Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP
13. **Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala PLLC:** Bielli & Klauder, LLC; KTBS Law LLP
14. **The Tort Claimants' Committee⁶ ("TCC"):** Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, & Jones, LLP
15. **United Methodist Ad Hoc Committee,** Macaulay LLP; Bradley Arant Boult Cummings LLP
16. **Westchester Fire Insurance Company and Federal Insurance Company,** Stamoulis & Weinblatt LLC; Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP
17. **The Zalkin Law Firm, P.C.:** Bielli & Klauder, LLC; KTBS Law LLP

III. RULES AND PROTOCOLS FOR TRIAL WITNESSES

- A. As previously ordered by this Court, all witnesses testifying live will testify live over Zoom. No witnesses will take the stand live in the courtroom in Delaware.
- B. Witnesses testifying live may (but shall not be required to) have their counsel in the same room with them while they are testifying over Zoom, as long as the identities of everyone in the room are noted on the record contemporaneously. The Court may give proper admonishments to the witnesses not to speak to their counsel during any breaks in the testimony. The Court may require the testifying witness's counsel to appear on camera.
- C. Any witness proffered by any party via written declaration must be available to be cross-examined live over Zoom. Any witness's declaration shall be offered at least 72 hours before the party offering such declaration may introduce the declaration and/or call such witness for testimony; provided however, that the 72-hour clock begins to run at 9:00 a.m. (ET) following service of any such declaration. Objections to such declarations shall be due at 9:00 a.m. (ET) 48 hours after the clock starts for such declaration; the filing of objections shall not preclude the objecting party from cross-examining the witness live.
- D. Any witness proffered by a party must be available to be deposed reasonably in advance of when the proffering party expects to introduce the witness's testimony upon request if not previously offered for deposition. Any party seeking to depose another party's trial witness must notice the deposition reasonably promptly after the witness is disclosed as a trial witness and the notice of deposition must allow a reasonable time to comply.
- E. Any retained expert witness proffered by a party to give expert testimony must have been timely identified in accordance with the Scheduling Order and must have been

⁶ The TCC supports confirmation of the Plan but has filed a limited objection regarding one proposed potential finding.

disclosed in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2). For the avoidance of doubt, this means that any expert witness retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony in this case must disclose his or her opinions in some form in writing in accordance with Federal Rule 26(a)(2)(B) reasonably in advance of the Confirmation Hearing or his or her testimony will be precluded.

- F.** Except as explicitly provided herein, for efficiency and witness convenience, each witness will only take the stand once absent permission from the Court or consent of all parties; provided, however, this rule will not prejudice parties from seeking relief to call a witness for a second time for rebuttal. A party seeking to call a witness that another party is calling must inform the party calling that witness at least 24 hours in advance of when that witness is scheduled to be called or at the same time as objections to that witness's declarations are served, whichever comes earlier. The party calling the witness reserves all objections as to any questions that may be asked or the propriety of another party calling that witness. Any party seeking to examine that witness, whether in its case-in-chief or solely on cross-examination, should examine the witness while he or she is the stand. Once one party has called a witness to the stand in its case-in-chief, that witness may not be called back to the stand later by a different party unless ordered by the Court, which request to call a witness a second time may be made orally at trial.
- G.** In accordance with the Court's stated preferences, all persons present at the hearing who are not presenting shall have their Zoom video and audio muted when not presenting to the Court.

IV. OPENINGS AND CLOSINGS

The parties shall proceed straight to the presentation of evidence without opening arguments. There will be closing arguments at the end of the Confirmation Hearing in accordance with the terms herein and directions to be provided by the Court.

V. WITNESSES AND SEQUENCING⁷

A. Debtors' Case-In-Chief Witnesses

The Debtors' witness list, and the order and manner in which the Debtors intend to offer their witnesses, is set forth below:

1. Devang Desai – Declaration / Limited Live

⁷ The parties agree that their witnesses will be presented substantially in the disclosed order, but reserve the right to make changes to the order of presentation of witnesses, and agree to provide at least 24 hours' notice prior to any changes in witness sequencing.

2. Brian Whittman (all subjects other than feasibility) – Declaration
3. Bruce Griggs – Declaration
4. Michael Burnett – Declaration
5. Adrian Azer – Declaration / Limited Live
6. Doug Kennedy – Live
7. John Humphrey – Live
8. Brian Whittman (feasibility) – Live
9. Bishop John Schol – Live
10. William Sugden – Declaration
11. James Patton – Declaration
12. Makeda Murray – Declaration
13. Charles Bates – Live / Limited Declaration
14. Nancy Gutzler – Declaration / Limited Live
15. Paul Rytting – Declaration / Limited Live
16. Jessica Horewitz – Declaration / Limited Live
17. Katie Nownes – Declaration
18. Aaron Lundberg - Declaration⁸

B. Plan Supporters’ Witnesses and Sequencing:

The other Plan Supporters’ and/or supporters of settlements incorporated therein’s witness lists, and the order and manner in which they intend to offer those witnesses, are as follows:

FCR

1. Jim Patton – Declaration / Live

⁸ The Debtors also reserve the right to call members of the Survivors Working Group to testify regarding the Debtors’ proposed youth protection policies.

C. Objecting Parties' Witnesses:⁹

The Objecting Parties' witness lists, and the order and manner in which they intend to offer those witnesses, are as follows:

Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala PLLC

1. Jason Amala – Declaration / Live

RCAHC:

1. Michael Averill – Live
2. Melissa Kibler – Live
3. Devang Desai- Live
4. Brian Whittman - Live
5. Jeffery Hunt - Live
6. Adrian Azer - Live
7. Catherine Nownes-Whitaker -Live

The RCAHC reserves the right to also call BSA witnesses on direct who are subsequently identified by BSA as 30(b)(6) designees after March 7, 2022. The RCAHC intends to introduce deposition designations of the following witness, which designation will be subject (x) to parties' opportunity to Counter-Designate and object to such designation and (y) to the Court's ruling on such deposition designations:

1. Christopher Celentano

Objecting Insurers:

1. Scott Harrington - Live
2. Jack Williams – Live

⁹ Objectors not identified herein shall use their best efforts to disclose their witness order and coordinate their order in the objectors' presentations.

3. Ken Rothweiler- Live
4. Stewart Eisenberg - Live
5. Eileen Tracey, Ph.D. – Live
6. Michael Dubin – Live
7. Marc Scarcella – Live
8. Karen Bitar – Live

The objecting insurers have not yet determined if they will call Dr. Charles Bates in their case-in-chief. In the event that the insurers intend to affirmatively call Dr. Bates, the objecting insurers will provide disclosure of that in accordance with footnote 3 above.

The objecting insurers have previously filed and intend to introduce deposition designations of the following witnesses, subject to the Court's ruling on objections to deposition designations:

1. Todd Allen
2. Anne Andrews
3. Adrian Azer
4. Steve Babin
5. Charles Bates
6. Joseph Cappelli
7. Jon Conte
8. Devang Desai
9. Stewart Eisenberg
10. Bruce Griggs
11. Sean T. Higgins

12. Adam Krause
13. Doug Kennedy
14. Makeda Murray
15. Paul J. Napoli
16. James Patton, Jr.
17. Alison Schuler
18. Jonathan Schulman
19. Adam Slater
20. David Stern
21. William Sugden

In the event that the witnesses in this section intend to make themselves available for testimony during the Hearing, the Insurers reserve the right to also examine such witnesses live.

Munich Reinsurance America, Inc/, f/k/a American Re-Insurance Company (“Munich Re”).¹⁰

1. Thomas O’Kane

Lujan Claimants:

Lujan Claimants’ witness list, and the order and manner in which Lujan Claimants intend to offer their witnesses, is set forth below:

1. Morgan Paul – Declaration / Live
2. Norman Aguon – Declaration / Live

¹⁰ Munich Re is an objecting insurer participating in the objecting insurers’ case in chief, and identifies this witness in addition to the objecting insurers’ witnesses.

3. Archbishop of Agana representative or designee, including but not limited to Josie Villanueva – Declaration / Live
4. Hartford representative or designee – Live
5. Omni Agent Solutions representative or designee, including but not limited to Catherine Nownes-Whitaker – Live
6. Delaware BSA representative of designee - Live

Lujan Claimants reserve the right to also call Debtors' witnesses on direct.

Lujan Claimants intend to introduce deposition designations subject to the Court's ruling on objections to deposition designations:

1. Bruce Griggs
2. Jesse Lopez
3. Devang Desai

D. Additional Witnesses Not on a Party's List

The parties may call (i) any witness on any other party's witness list in this pretrial order, regardless of whether the party removes that witness from its list, (ii) any witness necessary to authenticate documents (to the extent necessary), and (iii) any witness to provide rebuttal or impeachment testimony, as appropriate.

E. Deposition Designations

The parties submitted a number of deposition designations, counter-designations and objections. For depositions conducted on or after March 1, a party has 72 hours following the expiration of the seven-day period of section 5.5 of the Confidentiality and Protectives Order [D.I. 799].

Deposition testimony may also be used at the Confirmation Hearing in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 32.

F. Witness Availability

In the context of the Zoom hearing, witnesses will be treated as unavailable if outside of this Court's subpoena power, unless notice is provided in advance that such witness is available to appear at Confirmation Hearing for examination.

G. Reservation of Rights

The rights of all parties to object to the calling of any witness or to any testimony offered or elicited from any such witness are preserved.

VI. Exhibits and Introduction of Documentary Evidence

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order, the parties submitted proposed exhibit lists on March 7, 2022 and March 8, 2022. The parties will continue to work in good faith to determine what exhibits will be admitted by consent.

The Debtors will prepare physical joint exhibits binders for the Court, consisting of all the proposed timely identified exhibits of each participating party, which shall be made available electronically for all participating parties to access throughout the Confirmation Hearing. Any party who failed to timely identify exhibits on or before March 8, 2022 is responsible for providing those exhibits to the Court.¹¹

The parties agree that any demonstratives or charts, graphs or other exhibits reflecting analytical work product of experts intended to be used or introduced into evidence during witness examination during confirmation will be provided to other participating parties no later than 5 p.m. eastern time one day before such demonstrative is intended to be introduced, unless such deadline is waived by the consent of the participating parties for such exhibit.

¹¹ Discovery remains ongoing. Notwithstanding the provisions of this order, no party shall be prejudiced through the addition of recently produced exhibits or supplying those recently produced exhibits in a supplemental binder to the Court.

Exhibits that any party seeks to admit into evidence may be offered in accordance with the applicable rules.

A party that seeks to offer any exhibit into evidence without a sponsor in their case-in-chief shall do so before the conclusion of their case-in-chief. Such party shall work in good faith to provide notice of such exhibits to the other parties and provide them with a reasonable opportunity to raise objections. Any party objecting to exhibits will work in good faith to timely resolve the objection.

Exhibits that any party seeks to introduce into evidence during cross-examination or on rebuttal do not need to be included on a party's exhibit list. Objections to exhibits offered during cross-examination or rebuttal shall be made at the time the exhibit is offered.

VII. Stipulations

In the interest of promoting judicial economy, minimizing disputes and preserving the Debtors' estates, the parties will continue to work collaboratively on achieving stipulations.

IT IS ORDERED that this Final Pretrial Order shall control the subsequent course of the action unless modified at the Confirmation Hearing of the action, or prior thereto, to prevent manifest injustice or for good cause shown. Such modification may be made either on application of counsel for the parties or by the Court.

Exhibit B

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA AND DELAWARE
BSA, LLC,¹

Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 20-10343 (LSS)

(Jointly Administered)

**[PROPOSED] FINAL PRETRIAL ORDER FOR
THE PLAN CONFIRMATION HEARING²**

This Pre-Trial Order addresses the issues to be presented and the witnesses to be called by the Boy Scouts of America and Delaware BSA, LLC (“Debtors”) and other parties during the Confirmation Hearing starting on March 14, 2022.³² This information has been compiled to the best of the parties’ ability, based on the information provided to date by the parties supporting the Plan and the parties objecting to the Plan, who are listed below.

The Debtors filed their *Third Modified Fifth Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization for Boy Scouts of America and Delaware BSA* on February 15, 2022 [Docket No. 8813] (the “Plan”), which sets forth the legal and factual issues to be heard at the Plan confirmation hearing. Objections and Supplemental Objections have been filed by the Objecting

¹ The Debtors in these Chapter 11 Cases, together with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are as follows: Boy Scouts of America (6300) and Delaware BSA, LLC (4311). The Debtors' mailing address is 1325 West Walnut Hill Lane, Irving, Texas 75038.

~~2. This proposed Final Pretrial Order is supported by the Debtors, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., the Certain Insurers (as identified in the Certain Insurers' Supplemental Objection to Confirmation of Plan [Dkt. 9033]), by the RCAHC, and by Jane Doe (Claimant).~~

³² = The parties reserve all rights regarding any topics not specifically addressed by this order. For the avoidance of doubt, this order is not intended to abrogate any rules of evidence or procedure.

Parties identified below, and Replies have been filed by the Debtors and certain of the other parties supporting the Plan. Arguments and issues covered in the Plan, Objections to the Plan, and Replies in support of the Plan will not be repeated in this ~~proposed~~ order.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Debtors are seeking confirmation of the Plan and will put on evidence in support of confirmation pursuant to section 1129 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101–1532 (the “Bankruptcy Code”).⁴³ The Plan is supported by the following groups, ~~who~~ have some of whom filed briefs in support of the Plan and may also present evidence at the Confirmation Hearing in support thereof: the AHCLC; the Coalition; TCJC; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.; the UCC; the FCR; the TCC; the ~~UMAHC; the Century Insurers;~~ United Methodist Ad Hoc Committee; The Zalkin Law Firm, P.C.; Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala PLLC; ~~and~~ certain law firms representing Abuse Claimants; and the Roman Catholic Diocese of Paterson, New Jersey. In addition, four different groups of Settling Insurance Companies support approval of their settlements which are incorporated in the Plan, two of which have filed briefs in support thereof.

As outlined below, ~~approximately 34~~ a number of parties have filed objections to the Plan, some of whom will present evidence at the Confirmation Hearing. The parties that intend to present evidence in opposition to the Plan at the Confirmation Hearing include, but are not limited to, Abuse Claimants represented by certain law firms ~~representing Abuse Claimants,~~ Abuse Claimants appearing pro se, the Roman Catholic Ad Hoc Committee (“RCAHC”), the

⁴³ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this ~~Proposed~~ Pretrial Order have the meanings ascribed to them in the Plan or as set forth herein.

Girl Scouts of America, seventeen insurers who issued policies to BSA and/or BSA Local Councils, and the U.S. Trustee.

II. THE PARTIES

A. Debtors' Counsel:

1. Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell, LLP; Haynes & Boone, LLP; White & Case, LLP

B. Objectors to the Plan and Their Respective Counsel:

1. **AIG Companies:** Fineman Krekstein & Harris, PC; Foran Glennon Palandech Ponzi & Rudloff, PC; Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
2. **Allianz Global Risks US Insurance Company, Interstate Fire & Casualty Company, National Surety Corporation, collectively referred to as "Allianz Insurers":** Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders, LLP; Parker, Hudson, Rainer & Dobbs; McDermott Will & Emery LLP; Bradley & Riley PC
3. **Arch Insurance Company:** Smith, Katzenstein & Jenkins LLP; Hangley Aronchick Segal Pudlin & Schiller
4. **The Archbishop of Agaña, a Corporation Sole, Chapter 11 Debtor-in-Possession, District of Guam, Territory of Guam, Bankruptcy Division, Case 19-00010:** Gilbert Scali Busenkell & Brown, LLC
5. **The Archdiocese of New York Parishes and Related Entities:** Archer & Greiner, P.C.
6. **Argonaut Insurance Company and Colony Insurance Company:** Post & Schell, P.C.; Ifrah PLLC
7. **Arrowood Indemnity Company:** Joyce, LLC; Coughlin Midlige & Garland, LLP; Carruthers & Roth, P.A.
8. **AT&T Corp.:** McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP; Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer, LLP
9. **The Continental Insurance Company and Colombia Casualty Company:** Goldstein & McClintock LLLP; Loeb & Loeb LLP
10. **Dumas & Vaughn, LLC Claimants:** Bielli & Klauder, LLC; Dumas & Vaughn, LLC
11. **Eric Pai, as Administrator of the Estate of Jarred Pai:** Sullivan, Hazeltine, Allison, LLC

12. **Everest National Insurance Company:** Carlton Fields, P.A.
13. **Gemini Insurance Company:** Werb & Sullivan; Gieger Laborde & Laperouse LLC; Kiernan Trebach LLP
14. **General Star Indemnity Company:** Smith, Katzenstein & Jenkins LLP; Wiley Rein LLP
15. **Girl Scouts of America:** Dorsey & Whitney (Delaware) LLP; Dorsey & Whitney, LLP
16. **Great American Assurance Company, f/k/a Agricultural Insurance Company; Great American E & S Insurance Company, f/k/a Agricultural Excess and Surplus Insurance Company; and Great American E & S Insurance Company:** Bodell Bové, LLC; Clyde & Co US LLP; David Christian Attorneys LLC
17. **I.G. (Claimant), plaintiff in a matter pending before the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, Southern Division styled *I.G. v. Ozark Trails Counsel, Inc. and Scott Wortman*, Case NO. 6:20-cv-03059-SRB:** Gellert Scali Busenkell & Brown, LLC
18. **Indian Harbor Insurance Company, on behalf of itself and as successor in interest to Catlin Specialty Insurance Company:** Smith, Katzenstein & Jenkins LLP; Mound Cotton Wollan & Greengrass LLP
19. **Jane Doe (Claimant), plaintiff in a matter pending before the Fairfield Judicial Superior Court of the State of Connecticut styled *Jane Doe v. Town of Trumbull, Learning for Life Inc., Boy Scouts of America Corporation, William Ruscoe, Thomas Kiely, Timothy Fendor*, pending in, No. FBT CV 19 5039311 S:** Chapman Brown Cicero & Cole, LLP
20. **Liberty Mutual Insurance Company:** Seitz, Van Ogtrop & Green, P.A.; Choate, Hall & Stewart, LLP; Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Globsky and Popeo PC
21. **Linder Sattler Rogowsky LLP Claimants:** Klein LLC; Linder, Sattler, Rogowsky, LLP
22. **Lujan & Wolff LLP Claimants:** Loizides, P.A.; Lujan & Wolff, LLP
23. **Markel Service, Incorporated, Claim Service Manager for Evanston Insurance Company (“Evanston”) and Alterra Excess & Surplus Insurance Company (“Alterra”), collectively referred to as “Markel Insurers”:** Greenberg Traurig, LLP; Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP
24. **Munich Reinsurance America, Inc. formerly known as American Re-Insurance Company:** Dilworth Paxson, LLP

25. **National Surety Corporation and Interstate Fire & Casualty Company:** Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP; Parker, Hudson, Rainer & Dobbs; Bradley Riley Jacobs PC
26. **The Norwich Roman Catholic Diocesan Corporation, a chapter 11 debtor and debtor-in-possession in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut (Case NO. 21-20687 (JJT)):** Gellert Scali Busenkell & Brown, LLC; Ice Miller LLP
27. **Office of the United States Trustee for Region 3:** United States Department of Justice
28. **Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors appointed in *In re: Archbishop of Agaña* (Bankr. D. Guam 19-00010):** Hiller Law, LLC; Stinson LLP
29. **Old Republic Insurance Company:** Morris James LLP; Fox Swibel Levin & Carroll LLP
30. **Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala PLLC and The Zalkin Law Firm, P.C.:** Beilli & Klauder, LLC; KTBS Law LLP⁵⁴
31. **Rejecting Parker Waichman Abuse Survivor Clients:** Burr & Forman, LLP; Parker Waichman, LLP
32. **Roman Catholic Ad Hoc Committee (“RCAHC”):** Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP; ArentFox Schiff LLP
33. **Traders and Pacific Insurance Company, Endurance American Specialty Insurance Company, and Endurance American Insurance Company (the “Sompo Insurers”):** Cozen O’Connor
34. **Travelers Casualty and Surety Company, Inc. (f/k/a Aetna Casualty & Surety Company), St. Paul Surplus Lines Insurance Company and Gulf Insurance Company:** Reger Rizzo & Darnall LLP
35. ~~**Zuckerman Spaeder LLP Claimants: Zuckerman Spaeder, LLP; Landis Rath & Cobb, LLP**~~ **William L. McCalister, Jr.:** Gellert Scali Busenkell & Brown, LLC; Gunn, Lee & Cave, P.C.

C. Supporters of the Plan (and/or of Settlements Incorporated Therein) and Their Respective Counsel:

1. **Ad Hoc Committee of Local Councils of the Boy Scouts of America (“AHCLC”):** DLA Piper, LLP (US); Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz

⁵⁴ Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala PLLC and The Zalkin Law Firm, P.C. object only to certain provisions of the TCJC Settlement and are otherwise supporters of the Plan.

2. American Zurich Insurance Company, American Guarantee and Liability Insurance Company, and Steadfast Insurance Company (collectively, the “Zurich Insurers”): Tybout, Redfearn & Pell; Crowell & Moring LLP.
3. Century ~~Insurance~~Indemnity Company, as successor to CCI Insurance Company, as successor to Insurance Company of North America and Indemnity Insurance Company of North America (the “Century Insurers”): Stamoulis & Weinblatt LLC; O’Melveny & Myers LLP
- ~~4. Chubb Holdings, Inc.: Stamoulis & Weinblatt LLC~~
4. ~~5.~~ Clarendon National Insurance Company (as successor in interest by merger to Clarendon America Insurance Company), River Thames Insurance Company Limited (as successor in interest to UnionAmerica Insurance Company Limited), and Zurich American Insurance Company (as successor in interest to Maryland Casualty Company, Zurich Insurance Company and American General Fire & Casualty Company): Steptoe & Johnson LLP; Ballard Spahr LLP
5. ~~6.~~ The Coalition of Abused Scouts for Justice (“The Coalition”):⁵ Monzack Mersky and Browder, P.A.; Brown Rudnick, LLP
6. ~~7.~~ The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-~~Day~~day Saints, a Utah ~~Corporation~~Seleccorporation sole (“TCJC”): Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.; Latham & Watkins, LLP
7. ~~8.~~ The Diocese of Patterson, New Jersey: Warren Martin, Porzio Bromberg & Newman, 100 Southgate Parkway P.O. Box 1997, Morristown, NJ 07962-1997.
8. ~~9.~~ The Unsecured Creditors’ Committee: Kramer, Levin, Naftalis & Frankel, LLP
9. ~~10.~~ Eisenberg, Rothweiler, Winnkler, Eisenberg & Jeck, P.C. (“Eisenberg Firm”): Hogan McDaniel
10. ~~11.~~ First State Insurance Company, Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company, Twin City Fire Insurance Company, and Navigators Specialty Insurance Company (collectively, the “Hartford Insurers”): Bayard, P.A.; Ruggeri Parks Weinberg LLP; Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, LLP

⁵ Although the Coalition and FCR support the Plan, they continue to press their limited objection (see (I) Motion for Entry of an Order (A)(1) Striking Portions of Bates Rebuttal Report and the Entire Bates Supplemental Report and (2) Precluding Testimony Related to Improper Valuation Opinion or (B) in the Alternative, Granting Movants Leave to Submit a Rebuttal Report; and (II) Limited Objection To Confirmation Of The Plan [D.I. 8771]). The Coalition and FCR reserve all rights on these issues, and nothing herein shall be construed as a waiver of rights, claims, or defenses.

11. ~~12.~~ **Future Claimants’ Representative (“FCR”):** Young, Conaway, Stargatt & Taylor, LLP; Gilbert, LLP
12. ~~13.~~ **JPMorgan Chase Bank National Association:** Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP
13. ~~14.~~ **Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala PLLC:** Bielli & Klauder, LLC; KTBS Law LLP
14. ~~15.~~ **The Tort Claimants’ Committee⁶ (“TCC”):** Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, & Jones, LLP
15. ~~16.~~ **United Methodist Ad Hoc Committee,** Macaully LLP; Bradley Arant Boult Cummings LLP
16. ~~17.~~ **Westchester Fire Insurance Company and Federal Insurance Company,** Stamoulis & Weinblatt LLC; Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP
17. ~~18.~~ **The Zalkin Law Firm, P.C.:** Bielli & Klauder, LLC; KTBS Law LLP

III. RULES AND PROTOCOLS FOR TRIAL WITNESSES

- A. As previously ordered by this Court, all witnesses testifying live will testify live over Zoom. No witnesses will take the stand live in the courtroom in Delaware.
- B. Witnesses testifying live may (but shall not be required to) have their counsel in the same room with them while they are testifying over Zoom, as long as the identities of everyone in the room are noted on the record contemporaneously. The Court may give proper admonishments to the witnesses not to speak to their counsel during any breaks in the testimony. The Court may require the testifying witness’s counsel to appear on camera.
- C. Any witness proffered by any party via written declaration must be available to be cross-examined live over Zoom. Any ~~witnesses-declarations~~witness’s declaration shall be offered at least 72 hours before the party offering such declaration may introduce the declaration and/or call such witness for testimony; provided however, that the 72-hour clock begins to run at 9:00 a.m. (ET) following service of any such ~~declarations~~declaration. Objections to such declarations shall be due at 9:00 a.m. (ET) 48 hours after the clock starts for such declaration; the filing of objections shall not preclude the objecting party from cross-examining the witness live.
- D. Any witness proffered by a party must be available to be deposed reasonably in advance of when the proffering party expects to introduce the witness’s testimony upon request if not previously offered for deposition. Any party seeking to

⁶ The TCC supports confirmation of the Plan but has filed a limited objection regarding one proposed potential finding.

depose another party's trial witness must notice the deposition reasonably promptly after the witness is disclosed as a trial witness and the notice of deposition must allow a reasonable time to comply.

- E. Any retained expert witness proffered by a party to give expert testimony must have been timely identified in accordance with the Scheduling Order and must have been disclosed in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2). For the avoidance of doubt, this means that any expert witness retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony in this case must disclose his or her opinions in some form in writing in accordance with Federal Rule 26(a)(2)(B) reasonably in advance of the Confirmation Hearing or his or her testimony will be precluded.
- F. Except as explicitly provided herein, for efficiency and witness convenience, each witness will only take the stand once absent permission from the Court or consent of all parties; provided, however, this rule will not prejudice parties from seeking relief to call a witness for a second time for rebuttal. A party seeking to call a witness that another party is calling must inform the party calling that witness at least 24 hours in advance of when that witness is scheduled to be called or at the same time as objections to that witness's declarations are served, whichever comes earlier. The party calling the witness reserves all objections as to any questions that may be asked or the ~~properness~~propriety of another party calling that witness. Any party seeking to examine that witness, whether in its case-in-chief or solely on cross-examination, should examine the witness while he or she is the stand. Once one party has called a witness to the stand in its case-in-chief, that witness may not be called back to the stand later by a different party unless ordered by the Court, which request to call a witness a second time may be made orally at trial.
- G. In accordance with the Court's stated preferences, all persons present at the hearing who are not presenting shall have their Zoom video and audio muted when not presenting to the Court.

IV. OPENINGS AND CLOSINGS

The parties shall proceed straight to the presentation of evidence without opening arguments. There will be closing arguments at the end of the Confirmation Hearing in accordance with the terms herein and directions to be provided by the Court.

V. WITNESSES AND SEQUENCING⁶⁷

A. Debtors' Case-In-Chief Witnesses

The Debtors' witness list, and the order and manner in which the Debtors intend to offer their witnesses, is set forth below. ~~The Debtors reserve the right to amend this list at any time and for any reason, including, without limitation, the availability of the witnesses.~~

1. Devang Desai – Declaration / Limited Live
2. Brian Whittman (all subjects other than feasibility) – Declaration
3. Bruce Griggs – Declaration
4. Michael Burnett – Declaration
5. Adrian Azer – Declaration / Limited Live
6. Doug Kennedy – Live
7. John Humphrey – Live
8. Brian Whittman (feasibility) – Live
9. Bishop John Schol – Live
10. William Sugden – Declaration
11. James Patton – Declaration
12. Makeda Murray – Declaration
13. Charles Bates – Live / Limited Declaration
14. Nancy Gutzler – Declaration / Limited Live
15. Paul Rytting – Declaration / Limited Live
16. Jessica Horewitz – Declaration / Limited Live

⁶⁷ The parties agree that their witnesses will be presented substantially in the disclosed order, but reserve the right to make changes to the order of presentation of witnesses, and agree to provide at least 24 hours' notice prior to any changes in witness sequencing.

17. Katie Nownes – Declaration

18. Aaron Lundberg - Declaration⁷⁸

B. Plan Supporters’ Witnesses and Sequencing:

The other Plan Supporters’ and/or supporters of settlements incorporated therein’s witness lists, and the order and manner in which they intend to offer those witnesses, are as follows:

~~TCC~~

~~1. Kenneth Rothweiler, Esq. – Live~~

~~2. Chris Hurley, Esq. – Live~~

FCR

1. Jim Patton – Declaration / Live

C. Objecting Parties’ Witnesses:⁸⁹

The Objecting Parties’ witness lists, and the order and manner in which they intend to offer those witnesses, are as follows:

Pfau Cochran ~~Vertitis~~ Vertetis Amala PLLC

1. Jason Amala – ~~[declaration~~ Declaration / ~~live]~~ Live

RCAHC:

1. Michael Averill – Live

2. Melissa Kibler – Live

3. Devang Desai- Live

4. Brian Whittman - Live

⁷⁸ The Debtors also reserve the right to call members of the Survivors Working Group to testify regarding the Debtors’ proposed youth protection policies.

⁸⁹ Objectors not identified herein shall use their best efforts to disclose their witness order and coordinate their order in the objectors’ presentations.

5. Jeffery Hunt - Live

6. Adrian Azer - Live

7. Catherine Nownes-Whitaker -Live

The RCAHC reserves the right to also call BSA witnesses on direct. ~~Discovery is ongoing, and the RCAHC reserves the right to supplement or amend this list based on ongoing discovery~~ who are subsequently identified by BSA as 30(b)(6) designees after March 7, 2022.
The RCAHC intends to introduce deposition designations of the following witness, which designation will be subject (x) to parties' opportunity to Counter-Designate and object to such designation and (y) to the Court's ruling on such deposition designations:

1. Christopher Celentano

Objecting Insurers:

~~2.~~ 1. Scott Harrington - Live

2. ~~3.~~ Jack Williams – Live

3. ~~4.~~ Ken Rothweiler, - Live

4. ~~5.~~ Stewart Eisenberg - Live

5. ~~6.~~ Eileen Tracey, Ph.D. – Live

6. ~~7.~~ Michael Dubin – Live

7. ~~8.~~ Marc Scarcella – Live

8. ~~9.~~ Karen Bitar – Live

The objecting insurers have not yet determined if they will call Dr. Charles Bates in their case-in-chief. In the event that the insurers intend to affirmatively call Dr. Bates, the objecting insurers will provide disclosure of that in accordance with footnote 3 above.

The objecting insurers have previously filed and intend to introduce deposition designations of the following witnesses, subject to the Court's ruling on objections to deposition designations:

1. Todd Allen
2. Anne Andrews
3. Adrian Azer
4. Steve Babin
5. Charles Bates
6. Joseph Cappelli
7. Jon Conte
8. Devang Desai
9. Stewart Eisenberg
10. Bruce Griggs
11. Sean T. Higgins
12. Adam Krause
13. Doug Kennedy
14. Makeda Murray
15. Paul J. Napoli
16. James Patton, Jr.
17. Alison Schuler
18. Jonathan Schulman
19. Adam Slater
20. David Stern

21. William Sugden

In the event that the witnesses in this section intend to make themselves available for testimony during the Hearing, the Insurers reserve the right to also examine such witnesses live.

Munich Reinsurance America, Inc/, f/k/a American Re-Insurance Company (“Munich Re”).⁹¹⁰

1. Thomas O’Kane

Lujan Claimants:

Lujan Claimants’ witness list, and the order and manner in which Lujan Claimants intend to offer their witnesses, is set forth below:

1. Morgan Paul – Declaration / Live

2. Norman Aguon – Declaration / Live

3. Archbishop of Agana representative or designee, including but not limited to Josie Villanueva – Declaration / Live

4. Hartford representative or designee – Live

5. Omni Agent Solutions representative or designee, including but not limited to Catherine Nownes-Whitaker – Live

6. Delaware BSA representative of designee - Live

Lujan Claimants reserve the right to also call Debtors’ witnesses on direct.

Lujan Claimants intend to introduce deposition designations subject to the Court’s ruling on objections to deposition designations:

⁹¹⁰ Munich Re is an objecting insurer participating in the objecting insurers’ case in chief, and identifies this witness in addition to the objecting insurers’ witnesses.

1. Bruce Griggs

2. Jesse Lopez

3. Devang Desai

D. Additional Witnesses Not on a Party's List

The parties may call (i) any witness on any other party's witness list in this pretrial order, ~~or disclosed on any final witness list~~, regardless of whether the party removes that witness from its list, (ii) any witness necessary to authenticate documents (to the extent necessary), and (iii) any witness to provide rebuttal or impeachment testimony, as appropriate.

E. Deposition Designations

The parties submitted a number of deposition designations, counter-designations and objections. For depositions conducted on or after March 1, a party has 72 hours following the expiration of the seven-day period of section 5.5 of the Confidentiality and Protectives Order [D.I. 799].

Deposition testimony may also be used at the Confirmation Hearing in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 32.

F. Witness Availability

In the context of the Zoom hearing, witnesses will be treated as unavailable if outside of this Court's subpoena power, unless notice is provided in advance that such witness is available to appear at Confirmation Hearing for examination.

G. Reservation of Rights

The rights of all parties to object to the calling of any witness or to any testimony offered or elicited from any such witness are preserved.

VI. Exhibits and Introduction of Documentary Evidence

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order, the parties submitted proposed exhibit lists on March 7, 2022 and March 8, 2022. The parties will continue to work in good faith to determine what exhibits will be admitted by consent.

The Debtors will prepare physical joint exhibits binders for the Court, consisting of all the proposed timely identified exhibits of each participating party, which shall be made available electronically for all participating parties to access throughout the Confirmation Hearing. Any party who failed to timely identify exhibits on or before March 8, 2022 is responsible for providing those exhibits to the Court.⁴⁰¹¹

The parties agree that any demonstratives or charts, graphs or other exhibits reflecting analytical work product of experts intended to be used or introduced into evidence during witness examination during confirmation will be provided to other participating parties no later than 5 p.m. eastern time one day before such demonstrative is intended to be introduced, unless such deadline is waived by the consent of the participating parties for such exhibit.

Exhibits that any party seeks to admit into evidence ~~that are not among the pre-admitted exhibits~~ may be offered ~~through live witness testimony or through declaration sponsoring such exhibits. Exhibits offered through sponsoring declaration and objections thereto shall be subject to~~ in accordance with the ~~same~~ applicable rules ~~governing declarations contained in this Order.~~

A party that seeks to offer any exhibit into evidence without a sponsor in their case-in-chief shall do so before the conclusion of their case-in-chief. Such party shall work in good faith to provide notice of such exhibits to the other parties and provide them with a

⁴⁰¹¹ Discovery remains ongoing. Notwithstanding the provisions of this order, no party shall be prejudiced through the addition of recently produced exhibits or supplying those recently produced exhibits in a supplemental binder to the Court.

reasonable opportunity to raise objections. Any party ~~raising~~ objecting to exhibits will work in good faith to timely ~~identify~~resolve the objection.

~~Use of exhibits included in any party's exhibit list~~Exhibits that ~~such~~any party seeks to introduce into evidence ~~for purposes of~~during cross-examination or on rebuttal do not need to be included on a party's exhibit list. Objections to exhibits offered during cross-examination or rebuttal shall be made at the time the exhibit is offered.

VII. Stipulations

In the interest of promoting judicial economy, minimizing disputes and preserving the Debtors' estates, the parties will continue to work collaboratively on achieving stipulations ~~that will be submitted by Friday, March 11, 2022.~~

IT IS ORDERED that this Final Pretrial Order shall control the subsequent course of the action unless modified at the Confirmation Hearing of the action, or prior thereto, to prevent manifest injustice or for good cause shown. Such modification may be made either on application of counsel for the parties or by the Court.

Document comparison by Workshare Compare on Thursday, March 10, 2022
2:41:33 PM

Input:	
Document 1 ID	file:///C:/Users/local_dabbott/Temp/Workshare/pmsrd0u5.bk4\1\BSA - Proposed Confirmation Pretrial Order (as filed 3.9.22) (2).DOCX
Description	BSA - Proposed Confirmation Pretrial Order (as filed 3.9.22) (2)
Document 2 ID	iManage://WIL-DMS/WILM/15634404/2
Description	#15634404v2<WILM> - BSA - Proposed Confirmation Pretrial Order (as filed 3.9.22) (2)
Rendering set	Standard

Legend:	
<u>Insertion</u>	
Deletion	
<u>Moved from</u>	
<u>Moved to</u>	
Style change	
Format change	
Moved deletion	
Inserted cell	
Deleted cell	
Moved cell	
Split/Merged cell	
Padding cell	

Statistics:	
	Count
Insertions	103
Deletions	78
Moved from	0
Moved to	0
Style changes	0
Format changes	0

Total changes	181
---------------	-----