IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA and DELAWARE BSA, LLC, 1

Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 20-10343 (LSS)

(Jointly Administered)

Hearing Date: October 14, 2020 at 10:00 a.m. (ET) Objection Deadline: October 7, 2020 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)

Re: Docket No. 1379

BALTIMORE AREA COUNCIL'S OBJECTION TO TORT CLAIMANTS' COMMITTEE'S RULE 2004 MOTION FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF A SUBPOENA

The Baltimore Area Council Boy Scouts of America, Inc. (the "Council"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, submits this objection (the "Objection"), pursuant to Rules 2004 and 9016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules") and Rule 2004-1 of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Local Rules"), to the Motion of the Official Tort Claimants' Committee Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2004 and Local Rule 2004-1 for an Order Authorizing the Issuance of Subpoenas for Discovery from Debtors and Certain Local Councils (the "Motion"), [D.I. 1379], filed by the Official Tort Claimants' Committee (the "TCC"), and respectfully states as follows:

The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, together with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are as follows: Boy Scouts of America (6300) and Delaware BSA, LLC (4311). The Debtors' mailing address is 1325 West Walnut Hill Lane, Irving, Texas 75038.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. The TCC seems to be under the impression that each of the 250+ local councils of the Boy Scouts of America (the "<u>BSA</u>") <u>must</u> participate in any channeling injunction. From that starting point, the TCC believes that it should be permitted to obtain, and rummage through, each of 47 separate local council's records for the purpose of identifying unrestricted assets that <u>will be</u> contributed to support any such channeling injunction. The TCC has it wrong.
- 2. If, after November 16, 2020 (the "Bar Date"), a particular local council has no valid and enforceable claims asserted against it, that local council is unlikely to voluntarily participate in a channeling injunction. Actual, valid and enforceable claims are the driver in all of this—not the amount and nature of any particular local council's assets. The actual question before the Court is whether the TCC has demonstrated a basis to treat 47 local councils identically and issue 47 identical subpoenas to them, even if certain of those local councils have virtually no reason to participate in a channeling injunction. There is no basis or need for the TCC to obtain documents and information from a local council that is not subject to any valid and enforceable claims.
- 3. Here, the Council is not subject to a single pending abuse claim in any adjudicative body, including before a state or federal court. There is a reason for that: there are no valid and enforceable claims against the Council. For all of the TCC's assertions that its national advertising campaign has resulted in the submission of "thousands of claims," *see* Motion at ¶ 29, nothing has changed for the Council. Indeed, even if the TCC's efforts over the last several months have resulted in its gathering of dozens of claims against the Council, there will be no valid and enforceable claims against it—even before any evaluation of whether the claims have merit in the first instance. There is, therefore, no basis or need for the TCC to obtain documents and information from the Council to evaluate its "assets and liabilities to determine whether [it has] the

means to provide a substantial contribution sufficient to support a channeling injunction." *See* Motion at ¶ 2. There is similarly no basis or need for the TCC to "assess the factual and legal [grounds] for any claimed restriction [by the Council] for the purpose of making [its own] ... financial assessment of [the Council]." *See id.* at ¶ 19. With only *in*valid and *un*enforceable claims against it, the Council will determine at the appropriate time whether to voluntarily participate in a channeling injunction and, if so, whether it has adequate assets to do so. The Court should deny the TCC's request for authority to issue a subpoena to the Council.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, which is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue of the above-captioned debtors' bankruptcy case is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 5. The Council consents, pursuant to Local Rule 9013-1(h), to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with the Motion to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

BACKGROUND

A. <u>Case Background</u>

- 6. On February 18, 2020 (the "<u>Petition Date</u>"), the BSA and Delaware BSA, LLC (together, the "<u>Debtors</u>") commenced these jointly-administered bankruptcy cases (the "<u>Bankruptcy Cases</u>").
 - 7. On March 4, 2020, the United States Trustee formed the TCC.
- 8. The Council—a non-profit charitable entity formed under the laws of the State of Maryland—is not a party to these Bankruptcy Cases.

B. THERE ARE NO PENDING ABUSE CLAIMS AGAINST THE NON-PARTY COUNCIL

- 9. As the TCC acknowledges in its Motion, it seeks "Restricted Asset Information," "[Troop] Rosters" and "Insurance Policies" from local councils to assist in "formulating a plan," "analy[zing] ... the abuse claims against the Local Councils," and determining whether "Local Councils have the means to provide a substantial contribution sufficient to support a channeling injunction" as a "source of recovery for ... [claimants]." *See* Motion at ¶¶ 2, 3.
- 10. However, unlike other local councils, there are no abuse claims pending against the Council in any adjudicative body in any jurisdiction—including in the state and federal courts of Maryland. Among other reasons for the absence of any pending claims, the Council has multiple affirmative defenses that bar such claims as a matter of Maryland law. With no valid and enforceable claims against it, the Council need not participate in any "channeling injunction" or contribute assets as a "source of recovery for ... [claimants]." *See* Motion at ¶¶ 2, 3. On the other hand, the Council may determine on its own initiative—at some point later in these Bankruptcy Cases—that it has the ability to contribute assets to a channeling injunction and elect to participate. Accordingly, the TCC's broad-brush rationale for seeking discovery from non-parties like the Council is misplaced and serves no basis for an Order authorizing the TCC to issue a subpoena to the Council.

C. THE NON-PARTY COUNCIL'S PRIOR VOLUNTARY PRODUCTIONS

11. As the TCC acknowledges in its Motion, "certain of the [current subpoena targets already] have produced substantial documents to date." *See* Motion at 2 n.4. Although the Council is not a party to these Bankruptcy Cases, and although the Council has no valid or enforceable claims against it, the Council nevertheless has previously produced substantial information concerning its assets.

- 12. *First*, at the outset of these Bankruptcy Cases, the Council voluntarily provided an asset schedule that details its interests in real property and restricted assets (the "**First Voluntary**"). The Council did not have to volunteer this information.
- 13. <u>Second</u>, on July 23, 2020, at the TCC's request, the Council voluntarily produced (i) documentation reflecting real property sales since July 15, 2014 and (ii) a copy of each of its leases with third parties in connection with real property owned by the Council (the "<u>Second Voluntary Production</u>"). Further, as a part of the Second Voluntary Production, the Council executed and delivered an *Acknowledgment and Agreement* (the "<u>Acknowledgment</u>"), pursuant to which it agreed, on a going-forward basis, to provide advance notice of (a) any action pertaining to future marketing, sales, transfers or leases of any real property, in addition to copies of any related documents, and (b) any sale or transfer of personal property outside of the ordinary course of business in excess of \$25,000, in addition to copies of any related documents.
- 14. The Council did not have to volunteer any of these productions or agree to notify anyone about future sales of property (which, in any event, have not occurred); rather, the Council agreed to submit documents and information in response to reasonable requests for same—to cooperate with the Debtors, the TCC and other parties to these Bankruptcy Cases.

D. THE TCC'S ONGOING HARASSMENT OF THE NON-PARTY COUNCIL

15. Although the Council is not a party to these Bankruptcy Cases, and although the Council has no enforceable claims against it, and although the Council nevertheless has previously cooperated with requests and produced substantial information concerning its assets, the TCC continues to harass the Council through unreasonable demands for information. The TCC's most recent effort is the first before the Court. Through its Motion, the TCC seeks the Court's authority to issue a subpoena to the Council for unnecessary information.

- 16. Even after the Council made its First Voluntary Production, the TCC's attorneys sent a letter to the BSA's attorneys on May 19, 2020, demanding that the BSA obtain a burdensome set of documents and information from each local council concerning real property interests and leases.
- 17. Thereafter, the TCC's attorneys sent a letter directly to the Council on July 9, 2020 (rather than to the Council's undersigned attorneys, whose appearances have been entered in these Bankruptcy Cases since April 2020, *see* [D.I. 405]), *falsely* accusing the Council of seeking to encumber a real property asset, *wrongly* accusing the Council of violating the automatic stay, and *threatening* "swift legal consequences" A simple telephone call would have obviated the need for such action, but that approach runs counter to the TCC's favored strategy of harassing non-party local councils, including those with no valid and enforceable claims against them, like the Council.
- 18. And even after the Council made its Second Voluntary Production, the TCC's attorneys sent a letter (again) directly to the Council on July 31, 2020, demanding information "about the funds necessary for [the Council] to obtain a channeling injunction" "to pay ... each creditor who has a claim against [the Council]," even though there are no valid and enforceable claims against the Council.
- 19. Thereafter, the TCC's attorneys sent another letter (again) directly to the Council on September 4, 2020, attaching a document request seeking 42 categories and subcategories of documents and information dating back to 1950.
 - 20. The Motion is the TCC's most recent attempt to bully and harass the Council.

RELIEF REQUESTED

21. By this Objection, the Council respectfully requests the Court to enter an order denying the Motion inasmuch as the TCC seeks authority to issue a subpoena to the Council. Further, the Council understands that the BSA, the Ad Hoc Committee of Local Councils of the BSA (the "Ad Hoc Committee") and other local councils may be filing responses to the Motion. The Council reserves the right to join in those additional challenges to the Motion.

BASIS FOR RELIEF

- 22. "There are ... limits to the use of Rule 2004" *In re Washington Mut., Inc.*, 408 B.R. 45, 50 (Bankr. D. Del. 2009). Significantly, "[i]t may not be used for purposes of abuse or harassment and it cannot stray into matters which are not relevant to the basic inquiry" of discovering assets. *Id.* (internal citations and quotation marks omitted); *see also In re Mathews*, No. 18-153-LPS, 2018 WL 5024167, at *3 (D. Del. Oct. 17, 2018) (same). The party seeking Rule 2004 discovery "has the burden of showing good cause for the [discovery] it seeks." *In re Millennium Lab Holdings II, LLC*, 562 B.R. 614, 627 (Bankr. D. Del. 2016). "An investigation into the existence of ... claims against non-debtor [t]hird [p]arties does not fall within the scope or purpose of Rule 2004 as it is not an investigation into the 'property or to the liabilities and financial condition of the debtor[.]" *Id.* at 628 (quoting FED. R. BANKR. P. 2004(b)).
- 23. In its continuing pattern of bullying local councils throughout these Bankruptcy Cases, the TCC now asks the Court for authority to pursue unjustifiable discovery against the Council, even though there are no valid and enforceable claims against it. In its Motion, the TCC seeks authority to issue a subpoena to the Council for three categories of documents. *First*, it seeks "troop rosters" in advance of the Bar Date to broaden the "scope of abuse claims against

The Council reserves all rights to seek relief from any subpoena that the Court may authorize the TCC to issue to the Council.

... Local Councils" *See* Motion at ¶¶ 1, 22. This is not a proper purpose of Rule 2004 discovery—especially in light of the extraordinary advertising campaign that the TCC has undertaken in this case to identify claims. *Second*, the TCC seeks insurance policies from local councils, *see* Motion at ¶¶ 35-37, even though local councils need no encouragement to report their discovery of any independently-obtained policies, and even though "the BSA ... has retained an 'archivist' to assist in identifying [i]nsurance [p]olicies." *Id.* at ¶ 36. Thus, in addition to local councils' own investigations, an "archivist," paid by the estates, also is taking necessary steps to identify any lost-and-forgotten insurance policies.³ There is no need for the TCC to issue a subpoena to the Council for this information.

- 24. Third, the TCC treats the 47 local council subpoena targets as though they are identically situated and seeks information about each of their "restricted assets" to independently "assess" those assets and "determine whether the Local Councils have the means to provide a substantial contribution sufficient to support a channeling injunction." See id. at ¶¶ 2, 19. The TCC also asserts that each of the 47 local council subpoena targets is "central to the resolution of these [Bankruptcy] Cases," see id. at ¶ 42, without explaining why the other 200+ local councils are not.
- 25. At the hearing on this Motion, the Council looks forward to hearing more from the TCC about how it devised its list of 47 local council subpoena targets. That answer will be revealing. But two things are known for sure right now: the list is not based on those local councils that are most likely to have valid and enforceable claims asserted against them and, if it is, the TCC inadvertently included the Council on that list.
- 26. Unlike other local councils, there are no abuse claims pending against the Council in any adjudicative body in any jurisdiction—including the state and federal courts of

8

The Council already has undertaken efforts to identify independently-obtained insurance policies and has not identified any. If it did, it would have advised the BSA and its archivist.

Maryland—because there are no valid and enforceable claims against the Council. Local councils with pending claims against them may have a strong incentive to participate in a "channeling injunction" and contribute assets as a "source of recovery." *See* Motion at ¶¶ 2, 3. By contrast, the Council does not. And, in any event, if the Council later chooses to participate, it will be able to evaluate for itself whether and to what extent it has the ability to contribute assets. There is no basis for the TCC to obtain asset information from a non-party, like the Council, that has no demonstrable need to participate in a channeling injunction in these Bankruptcy Cases; that might explain why the TCC fails to offer the Court any authority for the proposition that it has the right to seek discovery from the Council under these circumstances.

27. The Court should deny the Motion to the extent the TCC seeks authority to issue a subpoena to the Council.⁴

CONCLUSION

28. For each of the foregoing reasons, the Council respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order denying the Motion with respect to the TCC's request for authority to issue a subpoena to the Council.

9

The TCC remarks in passing that "the BSA has the power to revoke or terminate the Local Councils' charters or let them expire by refusing to renew, which causes the Local Councils' assets to revert to BSA in accordance with the BSA's and Local Councils' by-laws and regulations." *See* Motion at ¶ 21. The TCC is wrong as a matter of law and, in any event, the TCC has misread the applicable corporate governance documents, including by-laws and regulations.

Dated: October 7, 2020 Wilmington, DE

WHITEFORD, TAYLOR & PRESTON LLC5

/s/ Richard W. Riley

Richard W. Riley. (DE ID 4052) The Renaissance Centre 405 N. King Street, Suite 500 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Telephone: (302) 357-3265

Email: (302) 337-3203 rriley@wtplaw.com

- and -

WHITEFORD TAYLOR & PRESTON LLP

Todd M. Brooks (*pro hac vice* admission pending) Seven Saint Paul Street Baltimore, MD, 21202-1636

Telephone: (410) 347-8700

Email: tbrooks@wtplaw.com

Attorneys for the Baltimore Area Council Boy Scouts of America, Inc.

⁻

Whiteford, Taylor & Preston LLC operates as Whiteford Taylor & Preston L.L.P. in jurisdictions outside of Delaware.

Case 20-10343-LSS Doc 1423-1 Filed 10/07/20 Page 1 of 12

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Richard W. Riley, do hereby certify that on October 7, 2020, I caused a copy of

the foregoing Baltimore Area Council's Objection to Tort Claimants' Committee's Rule 2004

Motion for an Order Authorizing the Issuance of a Subpoena to be served on the individuals

listed on the attached service list by e-mail.

/s/ Richard W. Riley

Richard W. Riley (DE No. 4052)

SERVICE LIST

James I. Stang, Esq. Robert B. Orgel, Esq. James O'Neill, Esq. John W. Lucas, Esq. John A. Morris, Esq. Linda Cantor, Esq. Kenneth Brown, Esq. Ilan Scharf, Esq.

Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP 919 North Market Street, 17th Floor

Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: jstang@pszjlaw.com;

jo'neill@pszjlaw.com; rorgel@pszjlaw.com; jlucas@pszjlaw.com; jmorris@pszjlaw.com; lcantor@pszjlaw.com; kbrown@pszjlaw.com;

ischarf@pszlaw.com

Thomas A. Labuda, Esq. Michael C. Andolina, Esq. Matthew E. Linder, Esq. Karim Basaria, Esq. Blair M. Warner, Esq. Sidley Austin

One South Dearborn Street

Chicago, IL 60603

Email: tlabuda@sidley.com; mandolina@sidley.com;

mlinder@sidley.com; kbasaria@sidley.com;

blair.warner@sidley.com

Patricia Kelly, CFO
Cassandra Burton
Craig Fessenden
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corp.
1200 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
Email: kelly.patricia@pbgc.gov;
burton.cassandra@pbgc.gov;
fessenden.craig@pbgc.gov

David L. Buchbinder, Esq. Hannah Mufson McCollum, Esq. Office of the United States Trustee for the District of Delaware

J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building

844 North King Street, Suite 2207 Lockbox #35

Wilmington, DE 19801

Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP 919 North Market Street, 17th Floor

P.O. Box 8705,

Wilmington, DE 19899-8705

Email: david.l.buchbinder @usdoj.gov;

hannah.mccollum@usdoj.gov

Jessica C. Boelter, Esq.

Sidley Austin

787 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019

Email: jboelter@sidley.com

Derek C. Abbott, Esq., Andrew R. Remming, Esq.

Eric Moats, Esq.

Paige Noelle Topper, Esq.

Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell

1201 N. Market Street Wilmington, DE 19899 Email: dabbott@mnat.com;

aremming@mnat.com; emoats@mnat.com;

ptopper@mnat.com

Tad Thomas, Esq. Louis C. Schneider, Esq. Thomas Law Office, PLLC 9418 Norton Commons Blvd, Suite 200 Louisville, KY 40059

Email: tad@thomaslawoffices.com; lou.schneider@thomaslawoffices.com

Jan T. Perkins, Esq.
Baker Manock & Jensen, PC
5260 N Palm Ave, Suite 421
Fresno, CA 93704
Email: jperkins@bakermanock.com

Jason P. Hood, Esq.
Davies Hood PLLC
22 N. Front Street, Suite 620
Memphis, TN 38103-2100
Email: jason.hood@davieshood.com

Thomas S. Neuberger, Esq.
Stephen J. Neuberger, Esq.
The Neuberger Firm 17 Harlech Drive
Wilmington, DE 19807
Email: tsn@neubergerlaw.com
sjn@neubergerlaw.com

Louis R. Strubeck, Jr., Esq.
Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019-6022
Email:
louis.strubeck@nortonrosefulbright.com

Jeffrey E Bjork, Esq. Kimberly A Posin, Esq. Nicholas J Messana, Esq. Latham & Watkins LLP 355 S Grand Ave, Suite 100 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Email: jeff.bjork@lw.com; kim.posin@lw.com; nicholas.messana@lw.com Todd C. Jacobs, Esq. Bradley Riley Jacobs PC 320 W Ohio Street, Suite 3W Chicago, IL 60654 Email: tjacobs@bradleyriley.com

Daniel W. Van Horn, Esq. Butler Snow LLP P.O. Box 171443 Memphis, TN 38187-1443 Email: danny.vanhorn@butlersnow.com

Eric Lopez Schnabel, Esq.
Alessandra Glorioso, Esq.
Dorsey & Whitney LLP
300 Delaware Ave, Suite 1010
Wilmington, DE 19801
Email: schnabel.eric@dorsey.com;
glorioso.alessandra@dorsey.com

Bruce R Ewing, Esq.
Dorsey & Whitney LLP
51 W 52nd Street
New York, NY 10019
Email: ewing.bruce@dorsey.com

Margaret M. Anderson, Esq. Fox Swibel Levin & Carroll LLP 200 W Madison Street, Suite 3000 Chicago, IL 60606 Email: panderson@foxswibel.com

Raeann Warner, Esq. Thomas Crumplar, Esq. Jacobs & Crumplar, P.A. 750 Shipyard Drive, Suite 200 Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: raeann@jcdelaw.com; tom@jcdelaw.com Adam J Goldberg, Esq. Latham & Watkins LLP 885 3rd Ave

New York, NY 10022-4834 Email: adam.goldberg@lw.com

Brya M. Keilson, Esq. Morris James LLP 500 Delaware Ave, Suite 1500 Wilmington, DE 19801

Email: bkeilson@morrisjames.com

Louis Strubeck, Esq.
Kristian Gluck, Esq.
Ryan Manns, Esq.
Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP
2200 Ross Ave, Suite 3600
Dallas, TX 75201-7932
Email:

louis.strubeck@nortonrosefulbright.com; kristian.gluck@nortonrosefulbright.com; ryan.manns@nortonrosefulbright.com

David M. Fournier, Esq.
Marcy J. McLaughlin Smith, Esq.
Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP
1313 Market Street, Suite 5100
P.O. Box 1709 Wilmington, DE 19899-1709
Email: david.fournier@troutman.com;
marcy.smith@troutman.com

Michael Merchant, Esq.
Brett Haywood, Esq.
Richards, Layton & Finger, PA
One Rodney Square 920 N King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Email: merchant@rlf.com; haywood@rlf.com

Harris B. Winsberg, Esq.
Matthew G. Roberts, Esq.
Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP
600 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 3000
Atlanta, GA 30308
Email: harris.winsberg@troutman.com;
matthew.roberts2@troutman.com

John P. Dillman, Esq. Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP P.O. Box 3064 Houston, TX 77253-3064 Email: houston bankruptcy@publicans.com

Elizabeth Weller, Esq. Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP 2777 N. Stemmons Freeway, Suite 1000 Dallas, TX 75207 Email: dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com

Paul W Carey, Esq. Mirick, O'Connell, DeMallie & Lougee, LLP 100 Front Street Worcester, MA 01608 Email: pcarey@mirickoconnell.com

Kate P Foley, Esq.
Mirick, O'Connell, DeMallie & Lougee, LLP
1800 W Park Drive, Suite 400
Westborough, MA 01581
Email: kfoley@mirickoconnell.com

John Stump, Esq.
Steptoe & Johnson PLLC
Chase Tower - Eighth Floor 707 Virginia
Street E. Charleston, WV 25301
Email: john.stump@steptoe-johnson.com

Eric S. Goldstein, Esq.
Shipman & Goodwin LLP
One Constitution Plaza
Hartford, CT 06103-1919
Email: egoldstein@goodwin.com;
bankruptcy@goodwin.com;
bankruptcyparalegal@goodwin.com

Richard Mason, Esq.
Douglas Mayer, Esq.
Joseph C. Celentino, Esq.
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz
51 W 52nd Street New York, NY 10019
Email: rgmason@wlrk.com;

dkmayer@wlrk.com; jccelentino@wlrk.com

Synchrony Bank c/o Valerie Smith PRA Receivables Management, LLC PO Box 41021 Norfolk, VA 23541 Email: claims@recoverycorp.com

Matthew Ward, Esq.
Morgan Patterson, Esq.
Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP
1313 N Market Street, Suite 1200
Wilmington, DE 19801
Email: matthew.ward@wbd-us.com;
morgan.patterson@wbd-us.com

James L. Patton, Jr., Esq.
Robert Brady, Esq.
Edwin Harron, Esq.
Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor
Rodney Square
1000 N King Street Wilmington, DE 19801
Email: jpatton@ycst.com; rbrady@ycst.com; eharron@ycst.com

Richard J. Bernard, Esq. Foley & Lardner LLP 90 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016 Email: rbernard@foley.com

Patrick A. Jackson, Esq. Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1410 Wilmington, DE 19801-1621 Email: patrick.jackson@faegredrinker.com David C. Weiss, Esq.
US Attorney for Delaware
Hercules Building
1313 N. Market Street, Suite 400
Wilmington, DE 19801
Email: usade.ecfbankruptcy@usdoj.gov

Riley C. Walter, Esq. Wanger Jones Helsley, PC. 265 E River Park Circle, Suite 310 Fresno, CA 93720 Email: rwalter@wjhattorneys.com

Victor Vilaplana, Esq. Foley & Lardner LLP 3579 Valley Centre Drive, Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92130 Email: vavilaplana@foley.com

Missouri Department of Revenue Bankruptcy Unit General Counsel's Office 301 W. High Street, Room 670 PO Box 475 Jefferson, City, MO 65105-0475 Email: deecf@dor.mo.gov

Bruce W. Leaverton, Esq. Karr Tuttle Campbell, P.S. 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98104 Email: bleaverton@karrtuttle.com

Jay Jaffe, Esq. Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 600 E. 96th Street, Suite 600 Indianapolis, IN 46240 Email: jay.jaffe@faegredrinker.com Deb Secrest
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department
of Labor and Industry
Collections Support Unit
651 Boas Street, Room 925
Harrisburg, PA 17121
Email: ra-li-ucts-bankrupt@state.pa.us

James P. Ruggeri, Esq.
Joshua D. Weinberg, Esq.
Michele Backus Konigsberg, Esq.
Abigail W. Williams, Esq.
Shipman & Goodwin LLP
1875 K Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006-1251
Email: jruggeri@goodwin.com;
jweinberg@goodwin.com;
mbackus@goodwin.com;
awilliams@goodwin.com;
bankruptcy@goodwin.com;
bankruptcyparalegal@goodwin.com

Jeffrey R. Waxman, Esq. Eric J. Monzo, Esq. Morris James LLP 500 Delaware Ave, Suite 1500 Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: jwaxman@morrisjames.com; emonzo@morrisjames.com Angela Z. Miller, Esq. Phillips Lytle LLP One Canalside 125 Main Street Buffalo, NY 14203 Email: amiller@phillipslytle.com

Deirdre M. Richards, Esq. Fineman Krekstein & Harris P.C. 1300 N. King Street Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: drichards@finemanlawfirm.com Tara LeDay, Esq. McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen, P.C. PO Box 1269 Round Rock, TX 78680 Email: tleday@mvbalaw.com

Paul A. Fanning, Esq. Ward and Smith, P.A. PO Box 8088 Greenville, NC 27835-8088 Email: paf@wardandsmith.com Bradley L. Rice, Esq. Nagel and Rice LLP 103 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, NJ 07068 Email: brice@nagelrice.com

Susan N.K. Gummow, Esq.
Igor Shleypak, Esq.
Foran Glennon Palandech Ponzi & Rudloff,
P.C. 222 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 1400
Chicago, IL 60614
Email: sgummow@fgppr.com;
ishleypak@fgppr.com

Mark L. Desgrosseilliers, Esq. Chipman, Brown, Cicero & Cole, LLP Hercules Plaza 1313 North Market Street, Suite 5400 Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: desgross@chipmanbrown.com Craig Goldblatt, Esq.
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Email: craig.goldblatt@wilmerhale.com

Thomas Moers Mayer, Esq.
Rachael Ringer, Esq.
David E. Blabey, Jr., Esq.
Jennifer R. Sharret, Esq.
Megan M. Wasson, Esq.
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
Email: tmayer@kramerlevin.com;
rringer@kramerlevin.com;
dblabey@kramerlevin.com;
jsharret@kramerlevin.com;
mwasson@kramerlevin.com

Kurt F. Gwynne, Esq.
Katelin A Morales, Esq.
Reed Smith LLP
1201 N. Market Street, Suite 1500
Wilmington, DE 19801
Email: kgwynne@reedsmith.com;
kmorales@reedsmith.com
Joseph H. Lemkin, Esq.
Stark & Stark, P.C.
PO Box 5315
Princeton, NJ 08543
Email: jlemkin@stark-stark.com

Gerald D. Jowers, Jr., Esq. Janet, Janet & Scuggs, LLC 500 Taylor Street, Suite 301 Columbia, SC 29201 Email: gjowers@jjsjustice.com Cindy L. Robinson, Esq.
Doug Mahoney, Esq.
Tremont Sheldon Robinson Mahoney P.C.
64 Lyon Terrace
Bridgeport, CT 06604
Email: crobinson@tremontsheldon.com;
dmahoney@tremontsheldon.com

Patrick A. Jackson, Esq.
Kaitlin W. MacKenzie, Esq.
Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
222 Delaware Ave., Suite 1410
Wilmington, DE 19801-1621
Email: Patrick.jackson@faegredrinker.com;
Kaitlin.mackenzie@faegredrinker.com

Michael P. Pompeo, Esq. Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas, 41st Floor New York, NY 10036-2714 Email: michael.pompeo@faegredrinker.com

Cindy L. Robinson, Esq.
Doug Mahoney, Esq.
Tremont Sheldon Robinson Mahoney P.C.
64 Lyon Terrace
Bridgeport, CT 06604
Email: crobinson@tremontsheldon.com;
dmahoney@tremontsheldon.com

David M. Klauder, Esq. Bielli & Klauder, LLC 1204 N. King Street Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: dklauder@bk-legal.com Alan C. Hochheiser, Esq. Maurice Wutscher, LLP 23611 Chagrin Blvd., Suite 207 Beachwood, OH 44122

Email: ahochheiser@mauricewutscher.com

Michael T. Pfau, Esq.
Jason P. Amala, Esq.
Vincent T. Nappo, Esq.
Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala PLLC
403 Columbia Street, Suite 500
Seattle, WA 98104
Email: michael@pcvalaw.com;
jason@pcvalaw.com; vnappo@pcvalaw.com

Karen C. Bifferato, Esq.
Kelly M. Conlan, Esq.
Connolly Gallagher LLP
1201 N. Market Street, 20th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
Email: kbifferato@connollygallagher.com;
kconlan@connollygallagher.com

Ryan S. Smethurst, Esq.
Margaret H. Warner, Esq.
McDermott Will & Emery LLP
The McDermott Building
500 North Capitol Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001-1531
Email: rsmethurst@mwe.com

David A. Lebowitz, Esq. Kaufman Lieb Lebowitz & Frick LLP 10 East 40th Street, Suite 3307 New York, NY 10016 Email: dlebowitz@kllf-law.com Anthony M. Saccullo, Esq. Mary E. Augustine, Esq. A.M. Saccullo Legal, LLC 27 Crimson King Drive Bear, DE 19701 Email: ams@saccullolegal.com; meg@saccullolegal.com

Bill Bowden, Esq.
Ashby & Geddes, P.A.
500 Delaware Avenue, 8th Floor
P.O. Box 1150
Wilmington, DE 19899-1150

Email: wbowden@ashbygeddes.com

Anne Andrews, Esq.
John C. Thornton, Esq.
Andrews & Thornton
4701 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 300
Newport Beach, CA 92660
Email: aa@andrewsthornton.com;
jct@andrewsthornton.com

Matthew S. Sorem, Esq. Nicolaides Fink Thorpe Michaelides Sullivan LLP 10 S. Wacker Drive, 21st Floor Chicago, IL 60606 Email: msorem@nicolaidesllp.com

Shawn M. Christianson, Esq. Buchalter, A Professional Corporation 55 Second Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-3493 Email: schristianson@buchalter.com

Bill Bowden, Esq.
Ashby & Geddes, P.A.
500 Delaware Avenue, 8th Floor
P.O. Box 1150
Wilmington, DE 19899-1150
Email: wbowden@ashbygeddes.com

Stamatios Stamoulis, Esq. Richard C. Weinblatt, Esq. Stamoulis & Weinblatt LLC 800 N. West Street, Suite 800 Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: stamoulis@swdelaw.com weinblatt@swdelaw.com

Tancred Schiavnoi, Esq.
Janine Panchok-Berry, Esq.
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
Times Square Tower
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036-6537
Email: tschiavoni@omm.com;
jpanchokberry@omm.com

Texas Attorney General's Office Bankruptcy & Collections Division Christopher S. Murphy, Assistant AG c/o Sherri K. Simpson, Paralegal P.O. Box 12548 Austin, TX 78711-2548 Email: christopher.murphy@oag.texas.gov; sherri.simpson@oag.texas.gov

R. Karl Hill, Esq. Seitz, Van Ogtrop & Green, P.A. 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500 Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: khill@svglaw.com

Kim V. Marrkand, Esq.
Nancy D. Adams, Esq.
Laura Bange Stephens, Esq.
Mintz, Levin, Corn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.
One Financial Center
Boston, MA 02111
Email: kmarrkand@mintz.com;
ndadams@mintz.com;
lbstephens@mintz.com

Michael J. Joyce, Esq. The Law Offices of Joyce, LLC 1225 King St., Suite 800 Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: mjoyce@mjlawoffices.com

Kevin Coughlin, Esq.
Lorraine Armenti, Esq.
Michael Hrinewski, Esq.
Coughlin Duffy, LLP
350 Mount Kemble Ave.
Morristown, NJ 07960
Email: kcoughlin@coughlinduffy.com;
larmenti@coughlinduffy.com;
mhrinewski@coughlinduffy.com

Douglas R Gooding, Esq.
Jonathan D. Marshall, Esq.
Michael J. Foley, Jr., Esq.
Choate, Hall & Stewart LLP
Two International Place
Boston, MA 02110
Email: dgooding@choate.com;
jmarshall@choate.com; mjfoley@choate.com

William E. Winfield, Esq.
Nelson Comis Kettle & Kinney LLP
300 E. Esplanade Drive, Suite 1170
Oxnard, CA 93036
Email: wwinfield@calattys.com

Richard A. Barkasy, Esq. Kristi J. Doughty, Esq. Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP 824 N. Market Street, Suite 800 Wilmington, DE 19801-4939 Email: rbarkasy@schnader.com; kdoughty@schnader.com

Henry C. Shelton, III, Esq. Adams and Reese LLP 6075 Poplar Avenue, Suite 700 Memphis, TN 38119 Email: henry.shelton@arlaw.com

James Tobia, Esq.
The Law Office of James Tobia, LLC
1716 Wawaset Street
Wilmington, DE 19806
Email: jtobia@tobialaw.com

Domenic E. Pacitti, Esq. Klehr Harrison Harvey Branzburg LLP 919 Market Street, Suite 1000 Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: dpacitti@klehr.com

Stephen Crew, Esq.
Peter Janci, Esq.
Crew Janci LLP
1200 NW Naito Parkway, Suite 500
Portland, OR 97209
Email: steve@crewjanci.com;
peter@crewjanci.com

Britton C. Lewis, Esq. Carruthers & Roth, P.A. 235 N. Edgeworth St. Greensboro, NC 27401 Email: bcl@crlaw.com

Erin R. Fay, Esq. Gregory J. Flasser, Esq. Bayard, P.A. 600 N. King Street, Suite 400 Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: efay@bayardlaw.com; gflasser@bayardlaw.com

Bruce W. McCullough, Esq. Bodell Bové, LLC 1225 N. King Street, Suite 1000 Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: bmccullough@bodellbove.com

Morton R. Branzburg, Esq. Klehr Harrison Harvey Branzburg LLP 1835 Market Street, Suite 1400 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Email: mbranzburg@klehr.com

William D. Sullivan, Esq. Sullivan Hazeltine Allinson LLC 919 N. Market Street, Suite 420 Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: bsullivan@sha-llc.com

Michael G. Kelly, Esq. Kelly, Morgan Dennis, Corzine & Hansen, P.C. PO Box 1311 Odessa, TX 79760-1311 Email: mkelly@kmdfirm.com Larry R. Boyd, Esq.
Chad Timmons, Esq.
Emily M. Hahn, Esq.
Abernathy, Roeder, Boyd & Hullett, P.C.
1700 Redbud Blvd, Suite 300
McKinney, TX 75069
Email: lboyd@abernathy-law.com;
ctimmons@abernathy-law.com;
ehahn@abernathy-law.com;
bankruptcy@abernathy-law.com

Peter Muthig, Esq.
Maricopa County Attorney's Office
Civil Services Division
225 W. Madison Street
Phoenix, AZ 85003
Email: muthigk@mcao.maricopa.gov

Kami Quinn, Esq.
Emily Grim, Esq.
Meredith Neely, Esq.
Jasmine Chalashtori, Esq.
Gilbert LLP
700 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20003
Email: quinnk@gilbertlegal.com;
grime@gilbertlegal.com;
neelym@gilbertlegal.com;
chalashtorij@gilbertlegal.com

Flordia M. Henderson, Esq. PO Box 30604 Memphis, TN 38130-0604 Email: flordia@fhendersonlaw.net

David J. Molton, Esq. Brown Rudnick LLP Seven Times Square New York, NY 10036

Email: dmolton@brownrudnick.com

Amish R. Doshi, Esq. Doshi Legal Group, P.C. 1979 Marcus Avenue, Suite 210E Lake Success, NY 11042 Email: amish@doshilegal.com

Don Stecker, Esq. Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 2200 San Antonio, TX 78205 Email: sanantonio.bankruptcy@publicans.com

Laura L. McCloud, Esq. c/o TN Attorney General's Office Bankruptcy Division PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207 Email: agbankdelaware@ag.tn.gov

Sunni P. Beville, Esq.
Tristan G. Axelrod, Esq.
Brown Rudnick LLP
One Financial Center
Boston, MA 02111
Email: sbeville@brownrudnick.com;
taxelrod@brownrudnick.com

Stanley B. Tarr, Esq.
Blank Rome LLP
1201 N. Market Street, Suite 800
Wilmington, DE 19801
Email: tarr@blankrome.com

Jason C. Powell, Esq.
Thomas Reichert, Esq.
The Powell Firm, LLC
1201 N. Orange Street, Suite 500
Wilmington, DE 19801
Email: jpowell@delawarefirm.com;
treichert@delawarefirm.com

Seth J. Reidenberg, Esq. Tybout, Redfearn & Pell 750 Shipyard Drive, Suite 400 Wilmington, DE 19801 Email: sreidenberg@trplaw.com

Tacie H. Yoon, Esq. Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20004 Email: tyoon@crowell.com Danielle Mason Anderson, Esq. Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C. 277 S. Rose Street, Suite 5000 Kalamazoo, MI 49007 Email: andersond@millercanfield.com

Stephen W. Spence, Esq. Baird Mandalas Brockstedt, LLC 1413 Savannah Road, Suite 1 Lewes, DE 19958 Email: sws@bmbde.com

Mark D. Plevin, Esq.
Austin J. Sutta, Esq.
Crowell & Moring LLP
Three Embarcadero Center,
26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Email: mplevin@crowell.com,
asutta@crowell.com

Leander L. James, Esq.
Craig K. Vernon, Esq.
R. Charlie Beckett, Esq.
James, Vernon & Weeks, P.A.
1626 Lincoln Way
Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815
Email: ljames@jvwlaw.net;
cvernon@jvwlaw.net; rbeckett@jvwlaw.net